

CALIFORNIA
ELECTRONIC
INTERCEPTIONS REPORT



Annual Report
to the
Legislature
2012

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General



Division of Criminal Law

Appeals, Writs & Trials Section

This annual report is available on the
California Attorney General's website:
<http://oag.ca.gov>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2012.....	2
Table 2: General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2012.....	3
Table 3: Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges And Court Activity During Calendar Year 2012.....	4
Table 4: Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2012.....	5
Table 5: Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report.....	61
Table 6: Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2012	94
Table 7: Jurisdiction Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2012.....	127
Table 8: Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years.....	128
Table 9: List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed or Not Used... ..	130
Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General”	131

Executive Summary

Pursuant to Penal Code section 626.62, the Attorney General's Office is responsible for preparing a legislative report on electronic interceptions with information provided by prosecutorial agencies at the county level. The 2012 California Electronic Interceptions Report to the Legislature can be referenced on the Attorney General's website at: <http://oag.ca.gov>.

During the 2012 reporting period, 707 electronic interception orders were approved in 16 California counties. These electronic interception orders resulted in 961 arrests. Over 87 percent of the requested and approved interception orders included narcotics as a precipitating offense. Notably, over 51 percent of the resulting arrests included a narcotics charge and about 20 percent included a gang charge. A majority of these arrests are currently pending prosecution.

Two examples illustrate the significant impact of electronic intercepts and the critical importance of electronic intercepts as a crime fighting tool:

In Imperial County, with the assistance of 15 intercept orders, law enforcement was able to seize over \$1.3 million, 799 pounds of methamphetamine, and 65 kilograms of cocaine.

In another example, in San Diego County, one intercept order was responsible for the arrest of more than 50 individuals and the seizure of over 1,000 pounds of methamphetamine, 300 pounds of marijuana, 200 pounds of cocaine, and 30 pounds of heroin.

These results are typical and demonstrate the importance of electronic intercepts as a valuable and effective tool for law enforcement agencies in investigating crimes involving narcotics transactions, criminal street gangs, and violence.

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact the Criminal Law Division of the Attorney General's Office at (916) 324-5267.

Table 1
Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	No of Orders	Number of Persons Arrested		Arrest Offenses						Conviction Offenses						Number of Persons Convicted	
		Total	Avg. per Order	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Total	Avg. per Order
Alameda	3	0														0	
Imperial	23	3	1.0			3										0	
Los Angeles	170	75	3.0			4										0	
Merced	6	13	6.5	1		12			12							0	
Orange	13	4	2.0	3	1											0	
Riverside	305	423	7.8			131										0	
Sacramento	4	7	3.5			7						3				3	1.5
San Bernardino	73	76	3.5			45										0	
San Diego	36	86	8.6		19	84			1		8	33			1	34	3.4
San Luis Obispo	2	2	1.0	2						2					2	2	1.0
San Mateo	1	1	1.0		1											0	
Santa Barbara	5	17	5.7			17						1				1	0.3
Santa Clara	24	77	8.6	1	20	76		1	12			18			10	18	2.0
Stanislaus	25	19	4.8	6		13				0						0	
Sutter	3	0														0	
Ventura	14	158	19.8	4	153	103										0	
Total:	707	961		17	194	495	0	1	25	2	8	55	0	0	13	58	

Table 2
General Description of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Orders	Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)						Targeted Location					Targeted Device				
		Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer	Other
Alameda	3	3										3					
Imperial	23			22		1	4				2	23					
Los Angeles	170	27		142				10	2		168	168	9				
Merced	6	1		5							6	6					
Orange	13	5	1	7				3			12	12	3				
Riverside	305			305							303	304					
Sacramento	4			4				3			4	4					
San Bernardino	73	2	1	68	4						66	73	1			15	
San Diego	36	8	7	28		3		1	1		35	35	1				
San Luis Obispo	2	2									2	2					
San Mateo	1		1								1	1					1
Santa Barbara	5		1	4			1				3	5					
Santa Clara	24	1	6	23		1		1			6	24					
Stanislaus	25	7	13	5				1			24	24					
Sutter	3	3	3				1				1	3					
Ventura	14	4	3	9				9				14					

Table 3
Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges
And Court Activity During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions						Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress			Number of Trials Resulting from Intercepts
	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Average Length	Requested Days	Actual Days	Avg. per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending	
Alameda	3	3										45	45	15				0
Imperial	23	22	2			16	16	1			31	938	993	43				0
Los Angeles	170	165			3	54	54			3	30	6570	6301	37	1			0
Merced	6	6	1			1	1				30	183	92	15				0
Orange	13	13										390	311	24				0
Riverside	305	305			1	117	115	2		1	30	12659	14938	49			29	0
Sacramento	4	4				1	1				30	150	129	32				0
San Bernardino	73	73	4			60	60				30	3985	3535	48				4
San Diego	36	35	3		1	28	27	2		1	29	1890	1594	44	1			0
San Luis Obispo	2	2										60	39	20				2
San Mateo	1	1										30	17	17				0
Santa Barbara	5	5										136	113	23				0
Santa Clara	24	24				8	8				30	960	842	35				0
Stanislaus	25	25				1	1				30	750	367	15				1
Sutter	3	3										75	75	25				0
Ventura	14	14										420	324	23				0

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Alameda	2012-ALA-4	51	515	64	36	Intercepted relevant communications which identified a suspect in an ongoing attempted murder investigation.
	2012-ALA-5	63	488	25	75	Intercepted relevant communications which identified a suspect in an ongoing attempted murder investigation.
	2012-ALA-6	70	1,488	13	87	Intercepted relevant communications which identified a suspect in an ongoing attempted murder investigation.
Imperial	2012-IM-57	399	24,959	10	90	Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators identified. Interception of these target telephone numbers have yielded five seizures of narcotics and bulk currency, which included 7 kilograms of cocaine, 100 pounds of methamphetamine, 3.48 kilograms of heroin, and \$93,933 of bulk currency.
	2012-IM-58	460	17,121	12	88	Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators identified. Interception of these target telephones has yielded five seizures of narcotics and bulk currency, including 6.8 kilograms of cocaine, 99 pounds of methamphetamine, \$353,925 of bulk currency, and 3.5 kilograms of heroin.
	2012-IM-59	157	6,400	60	40	Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators identified. Interception of these target telephones yielded two seizures of narcotics and bulk currency, including two pounds of methamphetamine and \$296,330.
	2012-IM-60	56	2,209	55	45	Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators identified. Interception of these target telephones yielded five seizures of narcotics and bulk currency, including 34 pounds of methamphetamine, 16 kilograms of cocaine, and \$296,330.
	2012-IM-61	138	3,017	14	86	Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators identified. Interception of these target telephones yielded five seizures of narcotics and bulk currency, including 51 pounds of methamphetamine, 5 pounds of marijuana, and \$296,330 of bulk currency.
	2012-IM-62	467	9,607	53	47	Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators identified. Interception of these target telephones yielded five seizures of narcotics, including 135 pounds of methamphetamine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Imperial (cont'd)	2012-IM-63	523	29,480	10	90	Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators identified. Interception of this target telephone has yielded four seizures of narcotics, including 88 pounds of methamphetamine and 16 kilograms of cocaine.
	2012-IM-64	4	136	47	53	Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators identified. Interception of this target telephone yielded one seizure of narcotics, 70 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2012-IM-65	219	4,789	19	81	Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators identified. Interception of these target telephones yielded seven seizures of narcotics and bulk currency, including 140 pounds of methamphetamine and \$815,000 bulk currency.
	2012-IM-66	202	6,455	7	93	Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators identified. Interceptions of these target telephones have yielded two seizures of narcotics, including 80 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2012-IM-67	46	854			
	2012-IM-68	64	4,250			Pursuant to wire interceptions, 9.42 kilograms of methamphetamine was seized from an after-market concealment trap in a car.
	2012-IM-70	13	1,204			Pursuant to wire interceptions, 14.31 kilograms was seized from an after-market concealment trap in a car.
	2012-IM-72	55	2,364			Pursuant to wire interceptions, 9.42 kilograms of methamphetamine was seized from an after-market concealment trap in a car.
	2012-IM-73		917			Interceptions lead to the disruption of a potential kidnapping plot.
	2012-IM-74		2,386			Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators identified. Interception of these target telephone numbers yielded two seizures of narcotics, which included 29 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2012-IM-77		3,606			Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators were identified.
	2012-IM-78		1,362			Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators were identified.
	2012-IM-79		991			Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators were identified. Interception of this target telephone number yielded two seizures of narcotics, which included 42.55 pounds of methamphetamine and 12.82 pounds of cocaine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Imperial (cont'd)	2012-IM-80		4,404			Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators were identified.
	2012-IM-81	321	5,163			Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators were identified. Interception of this target telephone number has yielded one arrest and several leads to narcotic investigations in the Arizona area.
	2012-IM-82	0	0			SW-2012-11 was signed and allocated funding but was disconnected prior to the first interception. No funding was used and no interceptions were made.
	2012-IM-83	378	2,659			Numerous investigative leads and coconspirators were identified. Interception of this target telephone number has yielded one arrest and several leads to narcotic investigations in the Arizona area.
Los Angeles	2012-LA-415	81	1,839	14	86	The target subject discussed the distribution of narcotics. Two target subjects were arrested.
	2012-LA-416	53	820	11	89	The target subject discussed the distribution of narcotics. No arrests were made.
	2012-LA-417	17	419	6	94	This investigation continues.
	2012-LA-418	52	503	17	83	During the interception of the target telephone, agents were able to identify a narcotics proceeds courier. Agents were able to seize \$183,507 concealed in the transmission of the load vehicle. One arrest was made.
	2012-LA-419	636	2,928	16	84	The case is ongoing and arrests are pending.
	2012-LA-420	27	1,023	13	87	The target subject discussed the distribution of narcotics. No target subjects have been arrested.
	2012-LA-421		0			
	2012-LA-422	12	1,408	0	100	The target subject discussed the importation and distribution of narcotics. No target subjects arrested.
	2012-LA-423	132	1,892	51	49	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-424	0	9	0	100	There were nine calls on the pen register for the target telephone but no audio sessions have been intercepted. Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-425	245	6,176	52	48	Interceptions pursuant to this wiretap led to the seizure of \$440,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2012-LA-426	327	6,271	9	91	The target subject discussed the importation and distribution of narcotics. No target subjects arrested.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-427	84	665	2	98	During the interception of the target telephone, agents were able to identify a narcotics proceeds courier. Agents were able to seize \$183,507 concealed in the transmission of the load vehicle. One arrest was made.
	2012-LA-428	25	151	6	94	This case is ongoing.
	2012-LA-429	149	88	72	28	Agents seized about 11 kilograms of cocaine and \$342,664 as a result of interceptions.
	2012-LA-430	10	31	19	81	Target is the primary suspect in the murder of a police officer. This is an ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-431	18	492	33	67	Interceptions during this wiretap resulted in the identification of multiple vehicles to be used for the purpose of transporting narcotics into the United States at a later time.
	2012-LA-432	146	9,356	10	90	This investigation is ongoing. As a result of the interceptions agents seized \$430,720 and \$67,368, respectively. Cases are pending.
	2012-LA-433	127	1,856	6	94	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-434	6	121	3	97	The target subject discontinued using this phone. This investigation continues. Agents seized 11 kilograms of cocaine and \$342,664 as a result of interceptions.
	2012-LA-435	26	460	18	82	Nine pounds of methamphetamine and one pound of marijuana were seized. One arrest was made; however, subject was released pending further investigations and will be prosecuted in the future.
	2012-LA-436	12	200	18	82	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-437	23	458	67	33	Ongoing investigation. Agents seize 20 kilograms of cocaine, two kilogram of heroin, three firearms, and \$1,083,227.
	2012-LA-438	5	484	34	66	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-439	102	1,693	20	80	Homeland Security Investigations (HSI) conducted an investigation of a Drug Trafficking Organization (DTO) that is responsible for coordinating the smuggling of cocaine and methamphetamine from Mexico into Southern California area for distribution throughout the United States.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-440	97	1,406	18	82	HSI is conducting an investigation into the narcotics trafficking organization that is responsible for coordinating the smuggling of methamphetamine and cocaine from Mexico into the Los Angeles area. This wiretap resulted in the seizure of eight pounds of methamphetamine, one kilogram of cocaine, \$36,000, and two firearms.
	2012-LA-441	3	3	0	100	This wiretap was authorized in March 2012, but due to the extremely limited activity on the phone opted to terminate the interception of this phone later in March 2012.
	2012-LA-447	0	0	0	0	This wiretap was authorized in March 2012, however interception of this phone was never initiated due to the fact the line was dead.
	2012-LA-448	168	9,112	2	98	Wire was instrumental in identifying all parties involved and obtaining incriminating statements.
	2012-LA-449	87	3,538	45	55	Use of this wiretap investigation assisted agents with the arrest of four individuals distributing narcotics in Los Angeles County and assisted agents with the seizure of five pounds of heroin, two ounces of cocaine, and the seizure of \$117,000 of illegally obtained narcotics proceeds.
	2012-LA-450	271	401	18	82	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-451	4	2,819	1	99	This investigation continues. Agents seized \$69,880 as a result of interceptions.
	2012-LA-452	328	10,872	9	91	The target subject is involved in the murder of a police officer.
	2012-LA-453	1,130	31,405	2	98	Targets are involved in a conspiracy to commit murder and murder charges.
	2012-LA-454	20	425	35	65	This wiretap helped identify a high level distributor within the organization.
	2012-LA-455	134	3,688	13	87	No arrests were made during this wiretap investigation.
	2012-LA-456	897	1,502	26	74	Ongoing investigation
	2012-LA-457	30	1,473	11	89	Ongoing investigation. In March 2012, agents seized 26 kilograms of cocaine as a result of interceptions.
	2012-LA-458	18	197	17	83	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-459	23	366	54	46	No arrests were made during this wiretap investigation.
	2012-LA-460	59	3,771	10	90	Ongoing investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-461	3	15	80	20	Phone numbers intercepted during this investigation led to the identification of additional members of the target organization.
	2012-LA-462	98	3,234	47	53	Agents seized five pounds of heroin, two ounces of cocaine, and \$117,000 of illegally obtained narcotics proceeds.
	2012-LA-463	32	1,227	17	83	Two (2) pounds of methamphetamine were seized.
	2012-LA-464	48	6,782	4	96	Two subjects arrested for attempted robbery. Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-465	51	656	12	88	During the interception of this wiretap, agents learned the target subjects of this investigation and one of his associates were planning a robbery. Members of the police department began surveillance of three suspects and arrested them while in route to commit the robbery. A .45-caliber handgun was located inside the suspect vehicle.
	2012-LA-466	22	380	24	76	During the interception of this wiretap, no arrests were made. Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-467	25	1,844	24	76	This wiretap helped identify a high level courier and source of supply within the organization.
	2012-LA-468	132	5,306	3	97	The target subject continues using this phone. This investigation continues.
	2012-LA-469	20	188	29	71	No arrests were made during this wiretap investigation.
	2012-LA-470	12	220	50	50	No arrests were made during this wiretap investigation.
	2012-LA-471	41	2,260	9	91	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-472	11	966	28	72	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-473	15	207	41	59	The target subject discussed the distribution of narcotics. Interception of target subject led to additional information of the structure of the organization.
	2012-LA-474	15	648	14	86	Interceptions pursuant to this wiretap led to the identification of multiple members of the target organization that are still being investigated by law enforcement for their involvement in money laundering and cocaine trafficking in Southern California.
	2012-LA-475	3	36	3	97	Ongoing investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-476	194	471	19	81	During the interception of these phones, conversations were intercepted indicating a large amount of narcotics were stored at a location that was later discovered to be in Los Angeles County. Based on this information, a search warrant was executed at the location, which resulted in the recovery of 65 pounds of methamphetamine and five pounds of heroin. One person was arrested as a result of the seizure. Charges have not been filed on the subject who was arrested.
	2012-LA-477	25	2,532	37	63	This wiretap helped identify additional members within the organization.
	2012-LA-478	11	141	31	69	No arrests were made during this wiretap investigation.
	2012-LA-479	54	1,324	3	97	Target subjects are involved in or have knowledge of a murder which occurred in 2002.
	2012-LA-480	503	9,485	5	95	Target subjects are involved in or have knowledge of a murder which occurred in 2002.
	2012-LA-481	9	591	20	80	The target subject continues using this phone. The investigation continues.
	2012-LA-482	20	91	21	79	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-483	10	638	6	94	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-484	17	229	23	77	No arrests were made during this wiretap investigation.
	2012-LA-485	8	965	22	88	The target subject continues using this phone. This investigation continues.
	2012-LA-486		6,004	1	99	Targets discussed their involvement in a double murder on monitored calls and were subsequently arrested. Murder charges were filed on both targets and court proceedings are pending.
	2012-LA-487	19	1,631	4	96	The target subject continues using this phone. This investigation continues. Agents seized \$189,366 as a result of interceptions.
	2012-LA-488	188	2,259	4	96	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-489	23	7,340	4	96	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-490	137	1,831	30	70	The target subjects discontinued using these phones. This investigation continues. Agents seized four pounds of heroin and \$56,201 as a result of interceptions.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-491	33	2,670	22	88	The target subject continues using this phone. This investigation continues. Agents seized 14 kilograms of cocaine as a result of interceptions.
	2012-LA-492	16	544	17	83	The target subject continues using this phone. Agents seized \$7,700 and 14 pounds of methamphetamine as a result of interceptions.
	2012-LA-493	182	4,063	10	90	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-494	0	3	0	100	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-495	25	457	6	94	Target subjects are involved in or have knowledge of a murder which occurred in 2002.
	2012-LA-496	47	2,427	25	75	The target subjects discontinued using these phones. Ongoing investigation. Agents seized 14 kilograms of cocaine as a result of interceptions.
	2012-LA-497	45	3,869	2	98	The target subject is involved in a conspiracy to commit murder.
	2012-LA-498	24	559	34	66	Interceptions pursuant to this wiretap led to the seizure of 20 pounds of methamphetamine, six pounds of white heroin, one pound of black tar heroin, and \$50,000. Additionally, two individuals were arrested.
	2012-LA-499	2	58	5	95	The target subjects discontinued using these phones. Ongoing investigation. Agents seized 14 kilograms of cocaine as a result of the interceptions.
	2012-LA-500	1	113	0	100	The target subjects discontinued using this phone. This investigation continues.
	2012-LA-501	3	430	6	94	This case is still pending so there are no significant results to report.
	2012-LA-502	11	1,874	14	86	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-503	362	16,383	4	96	Target subjects are involved in or have knowledge of a murder which occurred in 2002.
	2012-LA-504	296	9,367	4	96	Target subjects are involved in or have knowledge of a murder which occurred in 2002.
	2012-LA-505	185	4,254	8	92	Target subjects are involved in or have knowledge of a murder which occurred in 2002.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-506	180	5,490	4	96	This investigation targeted a specific violent criminal street gang and included multiple wiretaps. The leader, high ranking members, and other general members of the gang were captured and charged with multiple gang related crimes due to the use of wiretaps. The hierarchy and organization of the gang were severely impacted and crimes being committed by the gang have significantly decreased. Criminal proceedings have begun on many of the defendants. Trials are still pending.
	2012-LA-507	211	12,091	5	95	This investigation targeted a specific violent criminal street gang and included multiple wiretaps. The leader, high ranking members, and other general members of the gang were captured and charged with multiple gang related crimes due to the use of wiretaps. The hierarchy and organization of the gang were severely impacted and crimes being committed by the gang have significantly decreased. Criminal proceedings have begun on many of the defendants. Trials are still pending.
	2012-LA-508		1,183	28	72	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-509	104	7,726	1	99	This investigation targeted a specific violent criminal street gang and included multiple wiretaps. The leader, high ranking members, and other general members of the gang were captured and charged with multiple gang related crimes due to the use of wiretaps. The hierarchy and organization of the gang were severely impacted and crimes being committed by the gang have significantly decreased. Criminal proceedings have begun on many of the defendants. Trials are still pending.
	2012-LA-510	945	1,731	19	81	Intercepted conversations over the target telephone led to the seizure of 22 pounds of methamphetamine, \$10,000 in narcotics proceeds and the arrest of four DTO members.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-511	113	6,720	9	91	This investigation targeted a specific violent criminal street gang and included multiple wiretaps. The leader, high ranking members, and other general members of the gang were captured and charged with multiple gang related crimes due to the use of wiretaps. The hierarchy and organization of the gang were severely impacted and crimes being committed by the gang have significantly decreased. Criminal proceedings have begun on many of the defendants. Trials are still pending.
	2012-LA-512	585	1,067	17	83	Agents arrested the target subject of this investigation with 11 pounds of methamphetamine. The investigation is ongoing.
	2012-LA-513	394	794	9	91	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-514	0	0	0	0	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-515	24	423			Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-516	15	299	57	43	Numerous calls were intercepted giving investigators information regarding the importing and trafficking of narcotics from Mexico to the United States.
	2012-LA-517	37	653	4	96	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-519	381	6,098	2	98	The investigation targeted a specific violent criminal street gang. The leader, high ranking members, and other general members of the gang were captured and charged with multiple gang related crimes due to the use of wiretaps. The hierarchy and organization of the gang was severely impacted and crimes being committed by the gang have significantly decreased. Criminal proceedings have begun on many of the defendants. Trials are still pending.
	2012-LA-520	891	1,480	22	78	Agents arrested the target subject of this investigation with nine pounds of methamphetamine. The investigation is still ongoing.
	2012-LA-521	70	870	13	87	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-522	9	332	12	88	The target subjects discontinued using this phone. This investigation continues.
	2012-LA-523	1,418	2,985	9	91	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-524	50	2,966	13	87	This investigation continues.
	2012-LA-525	32	2,027	5	95	This investigation continues.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-526	169	2,035	53	47	During the interception of this wiretap, agents learned the target subject of this investigation and one of his associates were going to conduct a narcotics transaction for one unit of narcotics. The target subject was contacted at his residence and arrested. Approximately 454 grams of methamphetamine were located inside the suspect's residence.
	2012-LA-527	0	0	0	0	This investigation continues.
	2012-LA-528	39	2,807	21	79	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-529	14	183	18	82	The interceptions directly connect targets to each other and to drug activity which is significant for prosecution.
	2012-LA-530	167	3,617	0	100	This investigation targeted a specific violent criminal street gang. The leader, high ranking members, and other general members of the gang were captured and charged with multiple gang related crimes due to the use of wiretaps. The hierarchy and organization of the gang was severely impacted and crimes being committed by the gang has significantly decreased. Criminal proceedings have begun on many of the defendants. Trials are still pending.
	2012-LA-531	50	557	7	93	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-532	7	93	27	73	The target subject discontinued using this phone. This investigation continues.
	2012-LA-533	79	3,109	25	75	No arrests were made during this 30 day period; although agents were able to identify other narcotics distributors operating in Los Angeles County.
	2012-LA-534	19	2,346	12	88	Agents seized three pounds of heroin from target subjects. This is an ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-535	46	122	19	81	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-536	7	64	22	78	This investigation continues
	2012-LA-538	21	277	16	84	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-539	14	251	26	74	The target subject discontinued using this phone. This investigation continues. Agents seized 16 pounds of methamphetamine and a large amount of US currency as a result of interceptions. Charges are still pending.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-540	78	880	36	64	During the interception of this wiretap, agents learned the target subject of this investigation and one of his associates were planning to remit narcotics proceeds on behalf of their source of supply. The target subject was arrested. Approximately 22 kilograms of cocaine was seized and \$149,887 was located inside the suspect's location.
	2012-LA-541	0	0	0	0	No calls were intercepted over this target telephone; however, this is an ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-542	43	2,900	20	80	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-543	13	305	29	71	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-544	82	756	53	47	No arrests were made during this wiretap investigation.
	2012-LA-545	4	31	61	39	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-546	228	726	12	88	No arrests were made during this 30 day period. Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-547	167	4,376	4	96	Wiretap involved the investigation into the cold case murder of a police officer. Wiretap helped identify suspects involved. We were also able to obtain incriminating statements and learned of additional witnesses to interview for future affidavits.
	2012-LA-548	0	15	0	100	There were 15 calls on the pen register for the target telephone but no audio sessions have been intercepted over target telephone.
	2012-LA-549	20	4,664	9	91	This wiretap helped identify more members of the organization.
	2012-LA-550	21	576	30	70	Agents intercepted telephone conversations over target telephone indicating that an unknown courier was going to pick up seven pounds of methamphetamine and an undisclosed amount of U.S. currency. During a consent search of the related vehicle, officers located the bag which contained \$21,000 and seven pounds of methamphetamine. The driver and passenger of the vehicle were arrested and subsequently released in order to protect the ongoing wiretap investigation.
	2012-LA-551	20	2,666	21	79	This wiretap helped identify more members of the organization.
	2012-LA-552	0	0	0	0	No intercepted calls on this phone.
	2012-LA-553	64	8,882	19	81	Agents seized three pounds of heroin and one pound of methamphetamine. Ongoing investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-554	129	4,383	35	65	The target subject continues using this phone. This investigation continues. Agents seized about 11 pounds of methamphetamine, 195 vials of PCP and U.S. currency as a result of interceptions.
	2012-LA-555	215	5,271	2	98	The arrest occurred during the first week of live monitor and interception of communications. It helped stimulate the conversations and helped detectives get the incriminating communications intercepted. Prosecution is pending.
	2012-LA-556	472	3,764	0	100	The wire intercept was utilized to investigate a cold case homicide which occurred in 2005, in Culver C After "stimulating" the target subjects no useful information was communicated over any of the intercepted calls.
	2012-LA-557	61	6,518	41	59	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-LA-558	472	3,764	0	100	The wire intercept was utilized to investigate a cold case homicide which occurred in 2005, in Culver Cit After "stimulating" the target subjects no useful information was communicated over any of the intercepted calls.
	2012-LA-559	59	260	41	59	No arrest made during this 30 day period.
	2012-LA-560	43	7,870	16	84	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-561	15	2,200	34	66	Numerous calls were intercepted, allowing us access into this organization's trafficking abilities and patterns to transport narcotics across the nation. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2012-LA-562	3	1,226	9	91	Information gathered as a result of th interception of the target telephone has led to other significant leads in thi case. This case is still ongoing and there have been no significant seizure results to report as of yet.
	2012-LA-563	567	16,462	2	98	Ongoing homicide investigation.
	2012-LA-564	10	116	24	76	No arrest or seizures were made during wiretap investigation.
	2012-LA-565	22	366	51	49	The target subjects continue using these phones. This investigation continues.
	2012-LA-566	40	423	13	87	No arrests were made during this 30 day period.
	2012-LA-568	0	0	0	0	No arrests or seizures made during the interception.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-569	78	26,756	5	95	Intercepted communications resulted in the arrest of one individual and the seizure of \$72,300 bulk currency.
	2012-LA-570	2	43	9	91	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-571	20	1,470	31	69	This wiretap helped identify more members of the organization.
	2012-LA-572	6	144	30	70	The target subject discontinued using this phone. This investigation continues. Agents seized about six kilograms of cocaine, \$30,000, and one handgun as a result of interceptions.
	2012-LA-573	28	669	38	62	During the interception of this wiretap, agents learned the target subject of this investigation and his associates were planning to sell a large quantity of narcotics. Approximately 12 kilograms of cocaine were seized and \$336,000 was located inside the suspect's location. The suspect was subsequently arrested.
	2012-LA-574	226	56,500	4	96	Intercepted communications resulted in the further identification of additional Southern California based target subjects.
	2012-LA-575	14	378	33	67	Arrests and judicial prosecution of individuals involved are pending.
	2012-LA-576	5	574	25	75	Numerous calls were intercepted, allowing us access into this criminal organization's trafficking abilities and patterns of transporting narcotics across the nation. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2012-LA-577	34	71	8	92	No arrests were made during this 30 day period.
	2012-LA-578	24	1,302	22	78	Information gathered as a result of the interception of the target telephone has led to other significant leads in this case that are currently being pursued. This case is ongoing and there have been no significant seizures to date.
	2012-LA-579	17	848	11	89	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-580	7	15	0	100	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-581	114	2,962	15	85	No arrests were made during this 30 day period.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-582	25	1,250	20	80	During this investigation, through intercepted telephone conversations, investigative techniques and surveillance, officer learned suspect and his associates were utilizing the residence as their primary residence and stash location for their narcotics and narcotics proceeds. A search warrant was issued for the location, which resulted in the seizure of three kilograms of cocaine, 30 pounds of methamphetamine, \$240,000 of narcotics proceeds, and the arrest of three subjects.
	2012-LA-583	24	608	8	92	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-LA-584	32	2,672	16	84	Based on interceptions from this wiretap, law enforcement officers arrested three people, seized 520 pounds of marijuana, two firearms, and \$10,900.
	2012-LA-585	204	2,413	4	96	Target subjects involved in murder and conspiracy to commit murder. This investigation continues.
	2012-LA-586	155	4,112	7	93	Agents seized \$400,000 in narcotics proceeds. Agents were able to identify and disrupt money laundering activities due to narcotics proceeds seizures.
	2012-LA-587	237	7,403	4	96	Target subject is involved in a murder which occurred in July 2011.
	2012-LA-588	298	4,840	7	93	Ongoing investigation.
	2012-LA-589	69	1,491	17	83	Numerous calls were intercepted, allowing us access into this criminal organization's trafficking abilities and patterns of transporting narcotics from Mexico to the United States. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2012-LA-590	20	1,750	21	79	This wiretap identified more locations and members associated with the organization.
	2012-LA-591	349	4,440	3	97	Affiant believes pertinent calls have helped confirm the identity of suspects in an old murder case of a deputy sheriff.
	2012-LA-592	246	11,560	3	97	Target subject is involved in a murder which occurred in July 2012.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Merced	2012-MER-19	25	160	13	87	The wiretap interception(s) allowed agents to further identify and dismantle a DTO operating within the State of California. At the conclusion of the investigation, there were 10 search warrants executed which included 12 arrests. During the investigation, agents seized: two methamphetamine conversion laboratories; 334 pounds of methamphetamine; 217 marijuana plants; 11 pounds of processed marijuana; three handguns; and three vehicles. A total of more than \$120,000 was also seized.
	2012-MER-20	25	478	34	66	The wiretap interception(s) allowed agents to further identify and dismantle a DTO operating within the State of California. At the conclusion of the investigation, there were 10 search warrants executed which included 12 arrests. During the investigation, agents seized: two methamphetamine conversion laboratories; 334 pounds of methamphetamine; 217 marijuana plants, and 11 pounds of processed marijuana; three handguns; and three vehicles seized. A total of more than \$120,000 was also seized.
	2012-MER-21	25	446	61	39	The wiretap interception(s) allowed agents to further identify and dismantle a DTO operating within the State of California. At the conclusion of the investigation, there were 10 search warrants executed which included 12 arrests. During the investigation, agents seized: two methamphetamine conversion laboratories; 334 pounds of methamphetamine; 217 marijuana plants, 11 pounds of processed marijuana, three handguns and three vehicles. A total of more than \$120,000 was also seized.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Merced (cont'd)	2012-MER-22	25	401	15	85	The wiretap interception(s) allowed agents to further identify and dismantle a DTO operating within the State of California. At the conclusion of the investigation, there were 10 search warrants executed which included 12 arrests. During the investigation, agents seized: two methamphetamine conversion laboratories; 334 pounds of methamphetamine; 217 marijuana plants; 11 pounds of processed marijuana; three handguns; and three vehicles seized. A total of more than \$120,000 was also seized.
	2012-MER-23	25	113	27	73	The wiretap interception(s) allowed agents to further identify and dismantle a DTO operating within the State of California. At the conclusion of the investigation, there were 10 search warrants executed which included 12 arrests. During the investigation, agents seized: two methamphetamine conversion laboratories; 334 pounds of methamphetamine; 217 marijuana plants; 11 pounds of processed marijuana; three handguns; and three vehicles seized. A total of more than \$120,000 was also seized.
	2012-MER-24	25	1,084	3	97	We were able to figure out target's plan to murder a witness, and through the use of the wire, law enforcement was able to determine the scope of the plan, the involved parties, and protect the witness.
Orange	2012-OR-68	690	20,757	2	98	The interceptions were instrumental in obtaining sufficient evidence to make arrests in a cold case homicide. Without the interceptions, the target subjects would most likely have not been arrested and may very well have escaped prosecution. An arrest warrant has been issued for another target subject, also based on information obtained from the wire intercepts.
	2012-OR-69	7	39	16	84	The interceptions were instrumental in obtaining sufficient evidence to make arrests in a cold case homicide. Without the interceptions, the target subjects would most likely have not been arrested and may very well have escaped prosecution. An arrest warrant has been obtained for a fourth target subject, also based on information from the wiretaps.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Orange (cont'd)	2012-OR-70	2	2,499			One arrest has been made as a result of the wire intercepts. The investigation is continuing as to another target subject.
	2012-OR-72	77	479	7	93	The interceptions were very helpful in obtaining valuable information regarding a possible conspiracy to commit murder. However, the target subjects were sophisticated and their communications did not produce sufficient evidence to make an arrest. The intercepts provided a basis to obtain another intercept order.
	2012-OR-73	103	3,106	4	96	The interceptions were very helpful in obtaining valuable intelligence regarding a possible conspiracy to commit murder. However, the target subjects were very sophisticated in their communications and insufficient evidence existed to make any arrests. However, the interceptions did lead to another intercept order.
	2012-OR-74	16	3,952	3	97	The interceptions provided valuable intelligence information concerning a possible conspiracy to commit murder. However, the target subjects were very sophisticated in their communications and there was insufficient evidence to make any arrests. The investigation remains open.
	2012-OR-75	34	473	61	39	The wire intercept has assisted in the acquisition of valuable information concerning a large-scale narcotics trafficking organization that is operating in California and Georgia. The investigation has led to the identification of several members of the organization located in both the United States and Mexico.
	2012-OR-76	50	850	13	87	The wire intercept assisted in the acquisition of valuable information concerning a large-scale narcotics trafficking organization operating in California and Georgia. Information obtained through the use of this wire intercept was passed on to associated law enforcement offices in Georgia to assist in their investigation. In addition, information obtained through the use of this wire intercept was used to obtain a search warrant for a target subject's residence in which \$94,860 in jewelry was seized.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Orange (cont'd)	2012-OR-77	15	586	27	73	The wire intercept has allowed agents to identify several narcotics traffickers in the Los Angeles area. The matter is under active investigation and arrests will be made at the conclusion of the investigation.
	2012-OR-78	15	528	33	67	The wire intercepts enabled agents to identify several narcotics traffickers in the Los Angeles area. There is an active investigation and arrests will be made at the conclusion of the investigation.
	2012-OR-79	15	886	6	94	Some information regarding narcotics transactions was obtained, but then the target subject discontinued use of this phone. The investigation is continuing.
	2012-OR-80	46	1,142	46	54	The wire intercept enabled agents to identify several narcotics traffickers in the Los Angeles area. The investigation is continuing and agents will make arrests at the conclusion of the investigation.
	2012-OR-81	22	1,814	20	80	The wire intercept produced several discussions regarding narcotics trafficking, but not sufficient information to make any arrests. The target subject has since discontinued use of this telephone. The investigation is continuing.
Riverside	2011-RIV-89	16	2,869	25	75	Case pending investigation.
	2011-RIV-152	71	2,605	2	98	Target subject still being intercepted; no arrests made to date.
	2011-RIV-168	24	647	18	82	From this wire intercept, investigators were able to identify a load vehicle and the driver. A subsequent seizure resulted in 18 pounds of methamphetamine and a vehicle with a hidden compartment.
	2011-RIV-175	28	2,121	28	72	Case pending investigation results.
	2011-RIV-189	63	2,365	8	92	Ongoing investigation, interception of phone was integral to further developing case, learning about individuals previously unknown as well as modus operandi of organization and routes of travel in organization's illicit activities.
	2011-RIV-190	81	2,941	12	88	Ongoing investigation, interception of phone was integral to further developing case, learning about individuals previously unknown as well as modus operandi of organization and routes of travel in organization's illicit activities.
	2011-RIV-214	275	12,372	12	88	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2011-RIV-215	6,705	6,687	5	95	Case pending investigation.
	2011-RIV-222	8	256	76	24	Pursuant to interceptions obtained through this order, law enforcement seized \$145,000 in narcotics proceeds from members of the DTO.
	2011-RIV-225	19	210	9	91	The target subject discontinued using this phone; case pending further investigation. Agents seized 10 pounds of methamphetamine as a result of interceptions. Charges will be filed at a later date.
	2011-RIV-226	0	0	0	0	The target subject discontinued using this telephone; the investigation continues.
	2012-RIV-227	28	1,302	18	82	Case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-237	49	4,547	32	68	This intercept order provided further insight into the DTO that was the target of this investigation; case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-238	47	2,782	28	72	This intercept order led to the seizure of 20 pounds of methamphetamine and 9 kilograms of cocaine; case is still pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-239	6	105	86	14	Interceptions during this wiretap led to the seizure of \$348,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2012-RIV-240	60	980	30	70	Use of this wiretap investigation assisted agents with arrest of individuals distributing narcotics in Riverside County and assisted agents with the seizure of numerous pounds of methamphetamine, numerous kilos of cocaine and the seizure of thousands of dollars of illegally obtained narcotics proceeds.
	2012-RIV-241		0			This target telephone was signed but not installed. This investigation continues.
	2012-RIV-242	32	1,568	26	74	The target subject discontinued using this phone; case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-243	73	3,018	14	86	The target subject discontinued using this phone; case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-244	24	476	38	62	The target subject discontinued using this phone; case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-245	13	1,090	27	73	The target subject discontinued using this phone; case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-246	68	2,243	7	93	The target subject discontinued using this phone; case pending further investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-247	39	5,596	18	81	This intercept order provided detectives with valuable information that directly led to the seizure of a significant amount of illegal drugs and provided further insight into the workings within the DTO.
	2012-RIV-255	154	1,935	19	81	
	2012-RIV-256	195	2,979	6	94	
	2012-RIV-260	1	1,246	1	99	
	2012-RIV-261	26	695	52	48	Through intercepted calls it was determined a courier would be transporting narcotics to Pennsylvania. DEA was contacted and able to intercept the transaction. Subsequently agents seized 12 kilos of cocaine, 1 handgun, and arrested four subjects who were involved in the transaction.
	2012-RIV-263	29	2,701	35	65	The interception and monitoring led to the seizure of 25.64 kilograms methamphetamine, 1.34 kilograms heroin, and 36.1 kilograms of cocaine.
	2012-RIV-264	59	1,777	37	63	This is an ongoing investigation of which several subjects/locations have been identified and are pending arrest/search at the conclusion of the investigation. Charges not yet filed on the above arrests due to pending investigation.
	2012-RIV-265	64	331	35	65	This is an ongoing investigation of which several subjects / locations have been identified and are pending arrest / search at the conclusion of the investigation.
	2012-RIV-266	2	1,123	100	0	Case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-267	153	3,594	12	88	Use of this wiretap investigation assisted agents with the arrest of individuals distributing narcotics in Riverside County, and assisted agents with the seizure of numerous pounds of methamphetamine, numerous kilos of cocaine, and the seizure of thousands of dollars of illegally obtained narcotics proceeds.
	2012-RIV-268	25	2,311	33	67	
	2012-RIV-269	181	2,626	17	83	This is an ongoing investigation of which several subjects have been identified and are pending arrest at the conclusion of the investigation.
	2012-RIV-270	12	781	8	92	The target subject discontinued using this phone; case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-271	29	1,203	14	86	The target subject discontinued using this phone; this case pending further investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-272	2	88	3	97	The target subject has discontinued use of this telephone; this case is pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-273	134	6,820	9	91	The target subject has discontinued using this phone; case is pending further investigation/no results to report at this time.
	2012-RIV-274	42	2,957	10	90	The target subject has discontinued using this phone; case is pending further investigation and there are no results to report at this time.
	2012-RIV-275	8	327	3	97	The wiretap intercept order allowed us to identify a source of supply in Mexico. The case is ongoing and has expanded. The investigation involves multiple jurisdictions in the Southern California region and elsewhere.
	2012-RIV-276	5	364	6	94	The target subject discontinued using this phone; case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-277	37	311	62	38	Interception of this target telephone resulted in the seizure of 62 pounds of methamphetamine in California. Subsequent to the above seizure, an additional 94 pounds of methamphetamine was seized and a methamphetamine extraction lab was dismantled.
	2012-RIV-278	17	2,980	13	87	Intercepted calls revealed a load of narcotics was being sent to another state. Agents were contacted and subsequently were able to seize 12 kilos of cocaine and four subjects taken into custody.
	2012-RIV-279	17	3,447	8	92	Intercepted calls revealed a load of narcotics was being sent to another state. Agents in were contacted and subsequently were able to seize 12 kilos of cocaine; four subjects were taken into custody.
	2012-RIV-280	13	311	32	68	Through intercepted calls investigators were able to determine that subjects were going to be transporting the proceeds from Oregon to Southern California. Subjects were contacted and subsequently found to be in possession of a large amount of U.S. currency.
	2012-RIV-281	74	4,724	29	71	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-282	54	1,510	19	81	The totality of this investigation will assist to decrease crimes in Riverside County, which would also help to safeguard the community. The seizure of narcotics and narcotics proceeds will deter the narcotics sales in the community and help the war on drugs.
	2012-RIV-283	74	2,313	26	74	This is an ongoing investigation of which several subjects/locations have been identified and are pending arrest/search at the conclusion of the investigation. Charges not yet filed on arrests due to pending investigation. Interception of this telephone has established sufficient probable cause for the authorization of CA State search warrants for six significant locations related to this investigation, to be executed in order to gather additional evidence.
	2012-RIV-284	34	6,700	6	94	
	2012-RIV-285	22	1,505	11	89	
	2012-RIV-286	74	2,490	50	50	Federally funded. Seized: 164 pounds of methamphetamine; 16.94 pounds of heroin; and \$116,018.
	2012-RIV-287	9	237	12	88	The totality of this investigation will assist to decrease the crimes in Riverside County, which would also help safeguard the community. The arrests, seizure of narcotics and narcotics proceeds will deter the sales of narcotics in the community and helps the war on drugs.
	2012-RIV-288	13	3,054	3	97	
	2012-RIV-289	3	1,360	37	63	
	2012-RIV-290	642	1,188	17	83	
	2012-RIV-291	65	895	78	22	During this wiretap interception investigation agents were able to identify additional members of the DTO.
	2012-RIV-292		2,164	18	82	
	2012-RIV-293	135	4,579	24	76	This wiretap produced 33 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2012-RIV-294	129	14,746	16	84	Federal funded. Seized: 364 pounds of methamphetamine; 16.94 pounds of heroin; and \$116,018.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-295	74	2,313	26	74	This is an ongoing investigation of which several suspects/locations have been identified and are pending arrest/search at the conclusion of the investigation. To date charges have not yet been filed on arrests, due to pending investigation. Interception of this telephone has established sufficient probable cause for the authorization of CA State search warrants for six significant locations related to this investigation; to be executed to gather additional evidence.
	2012-RIV-296	32	1,235	25	75	The totality of this investigation will assist to decrease the crimes in Riverside County, which would also help safeguard the community. The arrest, seizure of narcotics and narcotics proceeds will deter the sales of narcotics in the community and help with the war on drugs.
	2012-RIV-297	108	2,625	24	76	
	2012-RIV-298	13	139	97	3	This target subject discontinued using the phone; pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-299	59	3,068	23	77	Through intercepted calls it was found the target subject of the intercept was found to have been facilitating the stash house for the DTO for their operation Oregon. A search warrant was obtained for the residence, and a subsequent seizure of 11.35 grams of methamphetamine and drug proceeds of \$19,356.
	2012-RIV-300	334	784	15	85	A seizure of 1.5 pounds of methamphetamine was made; this seizure was a direct result of information obtained from target telephone number which was a line utilized by target subject.
	2012-RIV-301	10	2,597	47	53	No comment.
	2012-RIV-302	41	1,055	18	82	The investigation is ongoing. To date we have identified three separate sources of supply, numerous distribution cells, stash locations, load vehicles, and well over 25 individuals who we hope to indict for various Health and Safety charges. The investigation is both National and International.
	2012-RIV-303	30	1,237	28	72	Wire interceptions allow investigating agents/law enforcement officers the needed information to dismantle the entire DTO.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-304	15	180	37	63	During interception of target telephone, agents developed intelligence regarding the structure of the organization. This particular DTO is responsible for the transportation of multi-kilograms of cocaine and heroin to DTO's in other states with the narcotics proceeds being transported back to Southern California using the same method.
	2012-RIV-305	0	4	0	0	This target subject discontinued using the phone; investigation continues.
	2012-RIV-306	7	285	11	89	This wiretap produced a seizure of \$407,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2012-RIV-307	121	7,385	14	86	This interception order was utilized to identify Riverside County narcotics sources of supply, along with narcotics and narcotics proceeds.
	2012-RIV-308	108	1,306	36	64	
	2012-RIV-309	0	0	0	0	
	2012-RIV-310	21	437	15	85	No arrests were made as a result of this wiretap during it investigation.
	2012-RIV-311	90	1,153	27	73	During the course of the 30-day wiretap, investigators intercepted telephone calls and text messages from target telephone #1. Early on in the investigation it was evident that target telephone #2 turned off phone service. As a result it was found that subjects had 2.5 pounds of methamphetamine and a Glock handgun. Target subject #1 was arrested by the police department.
	2012-RIV-312	7	28	39	61	Interceptions during this wiretap led to the identification of phone numbers and addresses used by other members of the target organization.
	2012-RIV-313	0	19	0	0	-
	2012-RIV-314	20	1,243	8	92	Wire interception order helped identify dealers confirmed to be in possession of methamphetamine in excess of one pound; intercepted calls assisted investigators with leads to the DTO, including stash house operators, runners, and other coconspirators.
	2012-RIV-315	26	1,364	15	85	Interceptions obtained from this wiretap order resulted in further identification of target subjects of this investigation, and resulted in one arrest and the seizure of \$96,000 in suspected narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-316	12	151	20	80	This wiretap investigation allowed investigators to identify coconspirators within this DTO. The totality of this investigation will assist to decrease the crimes in Riverside County which would also help safeguard the community. The seizure of narcotics and narcotics proceeds will deter narcotics sales in the community and will assist law enforcement with the war on drugs.
	2012-RIV-317	145	1,839	16	84	The interception of this telephone led to surveillance and the identification of another target subject. An additional intercept was initiated from this information. Approximately 15 pounds of heroin was seized from the target subject identified from this intercept order.
	2012-RIV-318	52	1,479	24	76	Wiretap assisted law enforcement officers in identifying target subjects and expanding the ongoing investigation into the target organization.
	2012-RIV-319	148	7,663	23	77	During the interception of these target telephones agents developed information to the structure of the organizational members and locations
	2012-RIV-320	98	242	3	97	
	2012-RIV-321	146	399	14	86	This wiretap interception order helped to seize 18 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2012-RIV-322	22	405	17	83	
	2012-RIV-323	39	639	18	82	This target subject discontinued use of phone; case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-324	48	1,220	29	71	From intercepted call investigators were able to determine the DTO was conducting its operation in another state. Seizures related to this portion of the investigation resulted in the following: seven kilos of cocaine; one pound of methamphetamine; \$20,000; 17 guns; and three vehicles.
	2012-RIV-325	12	441	1	99	The target subjects discontinued using these phones; pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-326	44	2,348	18	82	From intercepted calls investigators were able to gain further information and better understanding of the full scope of the DTO. This DTO was continuing to utilize commercial truck drivers to transport the narcotics and the proceeds of the narcotics sales to and from locations throughout the U.S. and Southern California.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-327	18	2,300	27	73	During this wiretap interception order agents were able to further develop and gather information into the drug trafficking organization structure, as well as its members and locations. Five persons were arrested. Five kilos of cocaine and four kilos of heroin were seized.
	2012-RIV-328	40	3,640	2	98	This wiretap interception order helped agents to seize: one vehicle along with \$670,583; 10 firearms; 565 pounds of methamphetamine; and 30 pounds of heroin.
	2012-RIV-329	26	1,435	42	58	Interceptions pursuant to this wiretap led to the identification of multiple members of the DTO as well as their associates, vehicles and residences.
	2012-RIV-330	14	1,049	20	80	
	2012-RIV-331	21	992	11	89	This subject discontinued using these phones; case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-332	23	1,263	32	68	During the course of the 30-day order, actively intercepted calls and text messages which assisted investigators in identifying a source of supply. Investigators monitored a money delivery which occurred in San Diego County and the source of supply was identified through intercepted conversations. This investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-333	20	45	24	76	No seizures were made; however, intelligence gained through interceptions provided further insight into the investigation.
	2012-RIV-334	41	399	59	41	During the federally-funded authorized wiretap court order (on two separate occasions) investigators seized one kilo of cocaine and 4 ounces of cocaine along with 3 arrests. Investigators were able to identify additional coconspirators and recognize the hierarchy of the DTO.
	2012-RIV-335	25	1,275	25	75	Intercepts clearly indicated the user of target telephone is involved in the trafficking of illegal drugs; no transactions were completed while the intercept order was active.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-336	161	20,934	12	88	During the course of the 30 days this wiretap order actively intercepted calls and text messages which assisted investigators in identifying a second source of supply. Investigators monitored a conversation whereupon a deal for one-half pound of methamphetamine was agreed upon; investigators monitored drug-delivery which occurred in San Diego County and subjects arrested in Riverside County. As a result, two suspects were arrested and three additional search warrants were served. A stolen handgun and 11 grams of methamphetamine were also seized. Case still pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-337	60	3,018	14	86	
	2012-RIV-338	35	954	10	90	Based on information gained from intercepted calls, a search warrant was executed after a courier delivered narcotics to a stash house where two individuals were subsequently arrested for violating Health & Safety Code § 11378. Approximately 28 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	2012-RIV-339	150	3,013	56	44	Based on information gained from intercepted calls, investigators seized 3.95 kilos of methamphetamine and arrested one person. The next month another person was arrested and two kilos of methamphetamine was seized and later that same month, an additional person was arrested and two kilograms of methamphetamine was seized.
	2012-RIV-340	40	2,420	41	59	Case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-341	11	1,186	58	42	
	2012-RIV-342	100	1,804	33	67	Interceptions from this wiretap order resulted in the arrests of two conspirators and the seizure of \$522,000.
	2012-RIV-343	119	6,093	10	90	Numerous additional suspects identified and the following seized: 23 pounds of methamphetamine; one kilo of cocaine; one kilo of heroin; and \$5,000 cash.
	2012-RIV-344	5	57	16	84	Some additional suspects were identified and 21 pounds of methamphetamine seized.
	2012-RIV-345		0			

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-347	155	21,792	10	90	Use of this wiretap investigation assisted agents with the arrest of individuals distributing narcotics in Riverside County and assisted agents with the seizure of numerous pounds of methamphetamine, numerous kilos of cocaine, and the seizure of hundreds of thousands of dollars obtained illegally through narcotic activities.
	2012-RIV-348	129	14,731	10	90	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-349	102	3,498	24	76	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-351	1,111	1,278	13	87	The use of this interception order are able to show the primary targets' involvement in narcotics trafficking within the U.S.; the identity and location of stash houses and the identity and location of narcotics destinations.
	2012-RIV-352	86	2,285	12	88	
	2012-RIV-353	31	7,297	10	90	The subject discontinued using this phone; case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-354	36	5,512	12	88	During the interception of target telephones, agents developed information to the structure of the DTO, members and locations. Seven persons were arrested; nine pounds of methamphetamine, two handguns, \$58,000 in narcotics proceeds with 2 vehicles were seized.
	2012-RIV-355		0			
	2012-RIV-356	85	9,872	5	95	Wiretap investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-357	159	8,054	4	96	Intercepted communication led to the seizure of 15 pounds of heroin and one arrest.
	2012-RIV-359	132	1,331	10	90	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-360	1,248	3,398	10	90	This wiretap interception order helped to seized 21 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2012-RIV-361	40	10,008	6	94	Seized: 565 pounds of methamphetamine; 30 pounds of heroin; and \$670,583.
	2012-RIV-362		0			
	2012-RIV-363	94	1,361	25	75	Numerous additional suspects were identified and 26 pounds of methamphetamine seized.
	2012-RIV-364	43	744	17	83	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-365	40	8,166	26	74	This wiretap interception order assisted agents in the seizure of \$670,583, one vehicle, 10 firearms, 565 pounds of methamphetamine, and 30 pounds of heroin.
	2012-RIV-366	41	893	3	97	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-367	15	1,370	31	69	During this wiretap interception agents developed information into the structure of the DTO, members and locations. Two persons were arrested and one kilo of cocaine, one vehicle, and \$100,000 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2012-RIV-368	6	16	0	100	
	2012-RIV-369	17	579	26	74	Interceptions pursuant to this wiretap order led to the identification of additional members of the DTO.
	2012-RIV-370		0			
	2012-RIV-371	40	235	17	83	Seized: 565 pounds of methamphetamine; 30 pounds of heroin, and \$670,583.
	2012-RIV-372	147	1,985	27	73	During the course of the 30-day wiretap interception, investigators actively intercepted telephone calls and text messages from target telephone numbers. One target telephone was switched; coconspirators and a source-of-supply for methamphetamine were identified as a result of intercepted calls.
	2012-RIV-373	948	2,077	15	85	
	2012-RIV-374	19	779	12	88	
	2012-RIV-375	58	2,238	9	91	The totality of this investigation will assist to decrease the crimes in Riverside County, which would also help safeguard the community. The arrest, seizure of narcotics and narcotics proceeds will deter the sales of narcotics in the community and help with the war on drugs.
	2012-RIV-376	70	2,097	51	49	
	2012-RIV-377	65	4,346	3	97	
	2012-RIV-378		0			
	2012-RIV-379	27	3,057	51	49	Use of this wiretap investigation assisted agents with arrest of individuals distributing narcotics in Riverside County and assisted agents with the seizure of numerous pounds of methamphetamine, numerous kilos of cocaine, and the seizure of hundreds of thousands of dollars obtained illegally through narcotic activities.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-380		0			
	2012-RIV-381	166	9,874	13	87	Target subject are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-382		0			
	2012-RIV-383	204	9,085	14	86	During the course of wire and electronic communications investigators seized: an AK-47 assault rifle; a stolen 10mm Glock handgun; numerous rounds of ammunition; body armour; heroin; and marijuana. Interceptions also discussed several unsolved homicides that have occurred in Riverside County. Investigators were able to identify additional coconspirators who are actively involved in narcotics and firearms trafficking as well as other gang related activity.
	2012-RIV-384	12	730	11	89	Wiretap investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-385	40	1,114	58	42	This wiretap interception order helped agents seize: one vehicle, \$670,583; 10 firearms; 565 pounds of methamphetamine; and 30 pounds of heroin.
	2012-RIV-386	15	10,010	13	87	During this wiretap interception order agents developed and gathered further information into the structure of the DTO, members and locations. One pound of heroin, three pounds of methamphetamine, and two arrests were made.
	2012-RIV-387	53	513	43	57	During the course of this investigation agents initiated six wiretaps between five targets. Agents were able to identify additional target subjects, vehicles and stash locations. The investigation which is still ongoing has led to the arrest of one person and the seizure of 13.5 pounds of methamphetamine and a handgun.
	2012-RIV-388	4	70	0	100	Wiretap investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-389	246	331	24	76	
	2012-RIV-390	19	706	28	72	Interceptions pursuant to this wiretap led to the identification of multiple members of the DTO, as well as their vehicles, associates, and residences.
	2012-RIV-391	65	1,887	33	67	Numerous additional suspects identified as a result of this intercept order.
	2012-RIV-392	23	461	49	51	Seizure of three pounds of methamphetamine and of one vehicle valued at \$8,500.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-394	223	11,912	11	89	During the course of the 30-day wire interception, investigators actively intercepted telephone calls and text messages from this target telephone number. Coconspirators and a source of supply for methamphetamine were identified as a result of the wire interception. A \$20,850 seizure also occurred as a direct result.
	2012-RIV-395	16	827	30	70	
	2012-RIV-396	54	2,916	31	69	During the course of intercepted wire and electronic communications investigators seized: an AK-47 assault rifle; a stolen Glock 10mm handgun; numerous rounds of ammunition; body armour; heroin; and marijuana. Interceptions also discussed several unsolved homicides that have occurred in Riverside County. Investigators were able to identify additional coconspirators who are actively involved in narcotics and firearms trafficking as well as other gang related activity.
	2012-RIV-397	70	878	52	48	
	2012-RIV-398	53	5,643	10	90	Interceptions over target telephones were instrumental in obtaining information relative to a Riverside County based narcotics trafficking organization.
	2012-RIV-399	4	291	10	90	Use of this wiretap investigation assisted agents with arrest of individuals distributing narcotics in Riverside County and assisted agents with the seizure of numerous pounds of methamphetamine, numerous kilos of cocaine, and the seizure of hundreds of thousands of dollars obtained illegally through narcotic activities.
	2012-RIV-400	30	16,720	7	93	During the course of this wiretap order several high ranking members of the DTO were intercepted and subsequently identified. Wiretap order allowed investigators to intercept the organizing and coordinating of future shipments of narcotics.
	2012-RIV-401	25	423	33	67	
	2012-RIV-403	168	1,196	8	92	Wiretap investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-404	47	2,386	6	94	Wiretap investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-405	31	528	27	73	
	2012-RIV-406	15	953	8	92	Interceptions pursuant to this wiretap led to the identification of an additional member of the drug trafficking organization.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-407	7	3,352	2	98	Ongoing investigation of DTO responsible for importing narcotics from Mexico.
	2012-RIV-408	26	1,309	21	79	During this wiretap interception order, agents were able to develop information to the structure of the drug trafficking organization. Agents also seized \$158,000, five pounds of marijuana, and arrested six persons.
	2012-RIV-409		0			
	2012-RIV-410	120	2,195	30	70	
	2012-RIV-411	23	1,058	74	26	During this intercept received 786 megabytes worth of PIN to PIN messages and photos.
	2012-RIV-412	10	71	27	73	
	2012-RIV-413	43	1,201	42	58	
	2012-RIV-414	157	6,328	27	73	Numerous additional suspects identified with telephones, residences and vehicles. Seized: 51 pounds of methamphetamine; 24 pounds of cocaine; 26 pounds of heroin; and \$5000.
	2012-RIV-415	94	1,412	52	48	As a result of this intercept order, 12 pounds of cocaine was seized.
	2012-RIV-417	0	0	0	0	The target subject discontinued using this phone; case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-418	4	838	15	85	Ongoing investigation of DTO responsible for importing narcotics from Mexico.
	2012-RIV-419	90	5,052	14	86	This wire intercept led to the seizure of 52 pounds of methamphetamine and \$14,320.
	2012-RIV-420	108	1,306	35	65	
	2012-RIV-421	145	1,317	29	71	During the interception agents were able to further identify other members of the DTO. This case is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-422	1,259	3,359	5	95	
	2012-RIV-423	26	965	49	51	This wiretap order resulted in the seizure of \$150,940.
	2012-RIV-424		0			
	2012-RIV-425	30	7,881	43	57	One vehicle seized along with \$670,583, 10 firearms, 565 pounds of methamphetamine, and 30 pounds of heroin.
	2012-RIV-426	168	2,646	48	52	During this wiretap interception order agents were able to further develop and gather additional information of the structure and operation of the drug trafficking organization. Four persons were arrested and \$160,000 in narcotics proceeds seized.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-427	32	97,171	14	86	These wiretaps have allowed for the high level interception of target (drug traffickers) in/out of Riverside County and continued investigations.
	2012-RIV-428	88	2,060	21	79	Additional suspects, vehicles and phones identified as a result of this intercept order along with the seizure of three pounds of methamphetamine and \$58,000.
	2012-RIV-429	98	1,783	15	85	During the interception of this wiretap agents identified other narcotics distributors. Agents also learned of an illegal alien smuggling tunnel. Information was forwarded to agencies along the San Diego/Mexico Border.
	2012-RIV-430	20	541	49	51	As a result of this intercept order, 12 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	2012-RIV-431	29	774	11	89	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-432	39	1,819	13	87	Use of this wiretap investigation assisted agents with arrest of individuals distributing narcotics in Riverside County and assisted agents with the seizure of numerous pounds of methamphetamine, numerous kilos of cocaine and the seizure of hundreds of thousands of dollars obtained illegally through narcotic activities.
	2012-RIV-433	40	2,089	29	71	This wiretap interception order has helped agents seize one vehicle along with \$670,583, 10 firearms, 565 pounds of methamphetamine, and 30 pounds of heroin.
	2012-RIV-434	12	365	32	68	Ongoing investigation of DTO responsible for importing narcotics from Mexico.
	2012-RIV-435	1,266	2,583	23	77	
	2012-RIV-437	58	3,244	8	92	Case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-438	771	1,841	14	86	
	2012-RIV-439	48	845	8	92	Case pending further investigation.
	2012-RIV-440		0			
	2012-RIV-441		0			
	2012-RIV-442		0			
	2012-RIV-443	34	1,111	23	77	During intercepts of target telephones agents developed information to the structure of the drug trafficking organization.
	2012-RIV-444	19	212	51	49	Seized \$150,040.
	2012-RIV-445		0			

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-446	18	44	50	50	As a result of this intercept order, 60 pounds of methamphetamine, 12 pounds of cocaine, seven pounds of heroin, and \$287,000 was seized.
	2012-RIV-447	75	686	17	83	
	2012-RIV-448	318	19,978	14	86	During the interception of the target telephones, agents developed intelligence regarding a DTO utilizing a tunnel along the U.S./Mexico border to smuggle narcotics and illegal aliens from Mexico into the U.S. Intercepted conversations indicated the location of the tunnel and the DEA has since identified the location of the tunnel.
	2012-RIV-449	7	116	52	48	
	2012-RIV-450	38	1,210	20	80	During this interception order agents were further able to develop the structure of the drug trafficking organization. Agents also seized \$24,000 in narcotics proceeds and arrested one person.
	2012-RIV-451		0			
	2012-RIV-452	22	618	26	74	Wiretap investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-453	83	1,084	34	66	The importance of this wire interception was to identify associates, sources of supply and customers of target subject.
	2012-RIV-454	33	951	31	69	The investigation is ongoing and entering a third phase
	2012-RIV-455	32	200,942	14	86	This interception has led to the seizures of narcotics and related proceeds in Riverside County.
	2012-RIV-456	40	1,707	45	55	
	2012-RIV-457	18	435	54	46	The wiretap interception order led to the identification of additional targets and locations which are essential to the furtherance of the investigation.
	2012-RIV-458	42	952	12	88	During the interception agents learned that target subjects of this investigation were going to turn over 10 kilograms of narcotics to an unknown courier. Agents established mobile surveillance of the target subjects and observed them deliver a large box to a courier in a parking lot. Agents coordinated with a marked patrol unit to conduct a traffic stop of the courier which resulted in the seizure of 22 pounds of methamphetamine and the arrest of the courier.
	2012-RIV-459	29	1,913	9	91	
	2012-RIV-460	51	299	22	78	Numerous target locations and phones identified.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-461		0			
	2012-RIV-462	44	2,915	2	98	
	2012-RIV-463	1	93	88	12	Use of this wiretap investigation assisted agents with the arrest of individuals distributing narcotics in Riverside County and assisted agents with the seizure of numerous pounds of methamphetamine, numerous kilos of cocaine, and the seizure of hundreds of thousands of dollars obtained illegally through narcotics activities.
	2012-RIV-464	107	2,127	50	50	During the interception agents learned that the target subject of this investigation and one of his associates were planning to broker a deal involving 11 kilos of cocaine; it was later found and located in the suspect's vehicle.
	2012-RIV-465	395	1,065	4	96	Monies seized as a result of this wiretap interception order was \$43,915.
	2012-RIV-466	30	1,518	71	29	This wiretap intercept order helped agents to seize one vehicle along with \$670,583, 10 firearms, 565 pounds of methamphetamine, and 30 pounds of heroin.
	2012-RIV-467		0			
	2012-RIV-468	63	2,184	11	89	Investigators were able to seize 17 kilograms of cocaine and four pounds of methamphetamine on two separate seizures related to this wiretap.
	2012-RIV-469	19	60	22	78	The investigation is ongoing; this wiretap order has assisted us in expanding the case investigation and actively monitoring target telephones associated.
	2012-RIV-470	170	338	15	85	
	2012-RIV-471		0			
	2012-RIV-472	52	457	43	57	During the interception agents learned the target subjects of this investigation were going to turn over ten kilograms of narcotics to an unknown courier. Agents established mobile surveillance of target subjects and observed them deliver a large box to a courier in a parking lot. Agents coordinated with a marked patrol unit to conduct a traffic stop at the courier which resulted in the seizure of 22 pounds of methamphetamine and the arrest of the courier.
	2012-RIV-473	8	461	3	97	No seizures; additional locations and potential target telephones identified.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-474	12	351	6	94	Investigators were able to seize \$150,940 from this wiretap interception order.
	2012-RIV-475	26	435	45	55	Wiretap investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-476	110	444	9	91	No seizures or significant activity.
	2012-RIV-477	88	11,377			This wiretap interception order helped agents to seize \$85,000, one handgun, half pound of methamphetamine, and 320 pounds of marijuana. Case and prosecution pending further investigation and execution of further search warrants.
	2012-RIV-478	144	1,793	10	90	During interception of target telephones, agents developed information to the structure of the DTO, as well as its members and locations.
	2012-RIV-479	5	186	23	77	During the 30 days of interception Agents identified Mexico-based narcotics distributor; the investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-480	564	784	28	72	
	2012-RIV-481	10	851	14	86	
	2012-RIV-482	40	22	32	68	During the interception agents were able to further identify other members of the DTO; this case is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-483		0			
	2012-RIV-484	850	2,032	12	88	
	2012-RIV-485	0	0	0	100	
	2012-RIV-486	69	2,175	39	61	This wiretap investigation is ongoing and entering a third phase.
	2012-RIV-487	21	6,142	13	87	Wiretap investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-488		0			
	2012-RIV-490	20	3,356	7	93	Wiretap investigation in ongoing.
	2012-RIV-492	190	4,144	11	89	This wiretap order was beneficial in helping to seize 42 pounds of methamphetamine and identified numerous additional suspects, locations and phones.
	2012-RIV-493	30	906	9	91	During the interception agents were able to identify other members of the DTO; this case is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-494	74	1,795	9	91	This wiretap interception order assisted with the identification of additional subjects within the DTO.
	2012-RIV-495		0			
	2012-RIV-496	7	235	4	96	Target subjects no longer using this phone; wiretap investigation is ongoing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-497	22	2,174	19	81	During this interception agents were able to identify other members of the DTO; this case/investigation in ongoing.
	2012-RIV-498	40	1,592	44	56	As a result of this wiretap order, agents were able to seize \$31,196.
	2012-RIV-499	227	15,386	8	92	Case is ongoing; agents were able to further identify additional DTO members and structure.
	2012-RIV-500	734	78	15	85	During interception agents were able to identify other DTO members.
	2012-RIV-501	19	620	56	44	Intercepted communications have assisted without continuing investigation.
	2012-RIV-502	11	174	16	84	
	2012-RIV-503	12	457	7	93	Target subject no longer using this phone; wiretap investigation in ongoing.
	2012-RIV-504	16	912	47	53	
	2012-RIV-506		0			
	2012-RIV-507		0			
	2012-RIV-508		0			
	2012-RIV-509		0			
	2012-RIV-512	254	280	15	85	
	2012-RIV-514	137	5,656	20	80	This wiretap investigation assisted agent in determining further identities of DTO.
	2012-RIV-515	31	1,009	13	87	
	2012-RIV-516		0			
	2012-RIV-517	6	111	65	35	As a result of this wiretap interception order this agency was able to seize \$29,000; no arrests were made.
	2012-RIV-518	85	3,938	5	95	The totality of this investigation will assist to decrease the crimes in Riverside County which would also help safeguard the community; The arrests and seizures of narcotics proceeds will deter the sales of narcotics in the community and help with the war on drugs.
	2012-RIV-520		0			
	2012-RIV-521	105	1,077	15	85	No seizures, significant intelligence gained referring to DTO members.
	2012-RIV-522		0			
	2012-RIV-523		0			
	2012-RIV-525	5	514	15	85	
	2012-RIV-526	115	2,920	17	83	During the interception of this wiretap agents were able to identify other DTO members.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-527	105	714	27	73	As a result of this wiretap interception order one assault rifle, three pounds of methamphetamine, 80 valium tablets and various ammunition and drug paraphernalia was seized.
	2012-RIV-530	14	1,567	13	87	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-531	258	44,066	7	93	Interceptions from this wiretap order resulted in two arrests, as well as the seizure of six kilograms of cocaine, one pound of methamphetamine and bulk U.S. currency.
	2012-RIV-532	56	1,545	19	81	
	2012-RIV-534	27	3,379	7	93	Wiretap investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-536	4	230	20	80	Information provided to the DEA in another state resulted in the stop of a truck which resulted in the seizure of \$1.5 million. A subsequent consent search resulted in the seizure of five firearms.
	2012-RIV-537		0			
	2012-RIV-538	80	2,829	14	86	During this wiretap interception investigation agents were able to identify other DTO members.
	2012-RIV-540		0			
	2012-RIV-541	28	790	69	31	
	2012-RIV-543	186	16,327	4	96	This wiretap interception order resulted in the identification of further narcotics sources of supply and distributors.
	2012-RIV-544	4	259	1	99	As a result of this wiretap order, 61.58 kilograms of marijuana was seized in another state.
	2012-RIV-546		0			
	2012-RIV-547	17	139	28	72	This wiretap investigation assisted investigators in obtaining information re transfer of phones; agents were able to further identify additional target subjects.
	2012-RIV-548	25	3,984	11	89	Agents were able to further identify additional DTO members.
	2012-RIV-549		0			
	2012-RIV-550	0	0	0	0	Assisted with continuing investigation.
	2012-RIV-554	34	382	22	78	As a result of this wiretap interception order, 14 pounds of methamphetamine was seized.
	2012-RIV-555	15	215	5	95	Investigation is ongoing.
	2012-RIV-556	4	4	0	100	
	2012-RIV-557	45	2,074	14	86	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-558	76	1,425	27	73	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-559	30	1,781	14	86	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-560	20	2,231	6	94	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-561	0	0	0	0	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-562	0	7	0	100	Intercepted communications have assisted with continuing investigation.
	2012-RIV-563	2	75	0	100	Target subjects are pending arrest and judicial prosecution.
	2012-RIV-573	14	128	69	31	This wiretap order lead to the arrest of one individual and the seizure of 10 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2012-RIV-583	33	518	52	48	This wiretap order led to the seizure of \$44,903 and three arrests.
Sacramento	2012-SAC-41	72	5,525	22	78	One defendant was prosecuted and sentenced in state court. Two other defendants are being prosecuted federally and their case is pending.
	2012-SAC-42	142	2,211	21	79	See comments on WT-12-01
	2012-SAC-43	22	134	0	100	See WT-12-01 for comments.
	2012-SAC-44	0	0			Identify source/supply of methamphetamine to another state. Four pending federal indictments. No communication intercepted on this particular intercept; however, intercepts 12-18 and 12-19 related to this case were fruitful.
San Bernardino	2011-SBD-416	62	3,323	29	71	Federally funded.
	2012-SBD-417	122	1,243	3	97	
	2012-SBD-418	152	7,098	2	98	
	2012-SBD-420	24	286	11	89	Federally funded [x] County funded []
	2012-SBD-422	46	1,269	21	79	Federally funded [x] County funded [] No longer intercepting target telephone. Investigation terminated.
	2012-SBD-423	124	6,319	10	90	
	2012-SBD-424	23	545	20	80	Federally funded [x] County funded []
	2012-SBD-426	97	4,044	13	87	Federally funded [x] County funded []
	2012-SBD-428	100	3,572	15	85	Federally funded [] County funded []
	2012-SBD-430	52	1,034	23	77	One person was arrested and two pounds of heroin recovered.
	2012-SBD-431	225	6,891	76	24	Intercepted communications associated with this intercept order resulted in the seizure of 270 grams of heroin.
	2012-SBD-432	12	308	24	76	
	2012-SBD-433	610	13,698	8	92	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-434	397	3,313	31	69	Intercepted communications related to this intercept order resulted in the arrest of three subjects and the seizure of nine pounds of heroin and \$36,000.
	2012-SBD-435	182	16,681	11	89	
	2012-SBD-436	68	734	4	96	Intercepted communications have assisted with continuing investigation. Case is still active
	2012-SBD-437	58	2,280	4	96	
	2012-SBD-438	59	672	56	44	Although this Title III investigation did not lead to any arrests or seizures, it did lead to the identification of the target telephone for 2012 SBN 022, which in turn led to several arrests, money seizures and the disruption of the DTO.
	2012-SBD-439	323	18,693	15	85	
	2012-SBD-440	99	6,306	25	75	
	2012-SBD-441	143	1,982	74	26	Intercepted communications associated with this intercept order resulted in identifying additional key members of this organization and provided valuable intelligence regarding the DTO's heroin distribution network.
	2012-SBD-442	180	2,470	19	81	County funded. No criminal charges directly resulted from the interceptions obtained pursuant to this intercept (wiretap) order. However, the intercepted communications were material in obtaining additional wiretaps and furthering the investigation. Case is still active.
	2012-SBD-443	154	11,202	24	76	
	2012-SBD-444	81	768	19	81	This investigation lead to the arrest of several of the DTO's runners. When wire intercepts led to another money seizure, the Mexico-based sources concluded that the DTO's leader was the leak and terminated their relationship. The DTO was effectively cut off from receiving further drug supply shipments.
	2012-SBD-445	119	3,901	8	92	
	2012-SBD-446	12	2,244	12	88	The use of wiretaps help identify the upper echelon targets of narcotic trafficking organizations, allowing law enforcement to make strategic enforcement action. It also introduces overwhelming and irrefutable evidence which in the end saves court resources while securing a conviction.
	2012-SBD-447	25	308	10	90	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-448	291	11,207	8.7	91	
	2012-SBD-449	130	5,734	11	89	Intercepted communications associated with this intercept order, and several other intercept orders related to this DTO resulted in the seizure of nine pounds of heroin.
	2012-SBD-450	147	3,068	8	92	No criminal charges directly resulted from the interceptions obtained pursuant to this intercept (wiretap) order. However, the intercepted communications were material in obtaining additional wiretaps and furthering the investigation. Case is ongoing.
	2012-SBD-451	79	1,954	14	86	
	2012-SBD-452	39	928	10	90	One adult female and one adult male were arrested, and \$103,000 was seized.
	2012-SBD-453	37	4,734	12	88	Communications intercepted as a result of this intercept order led to the seizure of 10.5 pounds of "Mexican Brown" powder heroin and the arrest of three suspects.
	2012-SBD-454	39	698	6	94	During the interception of this wiretap agents were able to identify other DTO members.
	2012-SBD-455	368	6,224	3	97	
	2012-SBD-456	50	2,989	18	82	
	2012-SBD-457	49	1,141	13	87	No longer intercepting target telephone. Investigation terminated.
	2012-SBD-458	150	4,256	3	97	The intercepted communications associated with this intercept order led to the identification of additional members of this DTO responsible for the distribution of heroin throughout the western portion of the U.S.
	2012-SBD-460	10	391	24	76	Intercepted communications associated with this intercept order resulted in the seizure of four pounds of heroin.
	2012-SBD-461	66	2,498	8	92	
	2012-SBD-462	25	1,471	13	87	Intercepted communications related to this intercept order resulted in the seizure of \$101,800.
	2012-SBD-463	91	4,682	9	91	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-464	124	5,396	13	87	Two were arrested and two pounds of heroin was seized. Later that month, three were arrested, and one-half ounce of heroin and one-quarter ounce of methamphetamine was seized. The next month one was arrested and 22 grams of methamphetamine and two firearms were seized. The following month, adult males were arrested and one ounce of heroin and three firearms were seized.
	2012-SBD-465	11	110	23	77	Intercepted communications associated with this intercept order resulted in the seizure of 11 pounds of heroin.
	2012-SBD-466	10	185	18	82	The interception allowed for the identification of a stash house and vehicles used to transport methamphetamine. The primary target was arrested in an identified vehicle as part of another investigation.
	2012-SBD-467	18	2,194	15	85	Intercepted communications associated with this intercept order resulted in the seizure of 5.5 pounds of heroin.
	2012-SBD-468	72	4,137	7	93	
	2012-SBD-469	8	221	17	83	
	2012-SBD-470	172	3,908	17	83	County funded case is still active
	2012-SBD-471	65	22,497	14	86	This investigation lead to the arrest of several of the DTO's runners. When wire intercepts led to another money seizure, the Mexico-based sources concluded that the DTO's leader was the leak and terminated their relationship. The DTO was effectively cut off from receiving further drug supply shipments.
	2012-SBD-472	44	5,588	9	91	One person was arrested and 7.5 kilograms of cocaine was seized.
	2012-SBD-473	84	6,357	24	76	During the course of this wire intercept order two arrests were made (pending filing), .5 pounds of heroin was seized, and additional higher level DTO members were identified.
2012-SBD-474	165	5,291	20	80	County funded during this period of interception, members of the target organization, as well as multiple stash locations used by members of the target organization, were identified by law enforcement. Case is still active.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-475	177	7,976	19	81	In February, one person was arrested and \$225,000 seized. In March, one person was arrested and one kilogram of cocaine seized. Later that March, four people were arrested and 14 kilograms of cocaine and \$600,000 were seized.
	2012-SBD-476	93	1,001	11	89	Terminated interception of target telephone.
	2012-SBD-477	29	476	5	95	
	2012-SBD-478	446	3,788	15	85	
	2012-SBD-479	30	439	13	87	
	2012-SBD-480	29	650	31	69	Seized: 26 pounds of methamphetamine; two pounds of heroin, and one gun.
	2012-SBD-481	12	1,684	11	89	Intercepted communications associated with this intercept order resulted in the seizure of six pounds of heroin and \$41,250.
	2012-SBD-482	43	1,488	4	96	
	2012-SBD-483		0			
	2012-SBD-484	72	5,232	4	96	Intercepted communications associated with this intercept order resulted in the arrest of two subjects and the seizure of six pounds of heroin.
	2012-SBD-485	13	222	14	86	
	2012-SBD-486	27	864	30	70	
	2012-SBD-487	9	92	5	95	
	2012-SBD-488	35	753	28	72	
	2012-SBD-489	32	5,029	7	93	Communications intercepted during the course of this intercept order led to intelligence regarding the structure of this DTO, as well as assisted in the identification of additional members.
	2012-SBD-490	58	1,190	41	59	In September, four people were arrested, and 18 ounces of heroin and \$22,339 was seized. In October, six people were arrested and \$104,086, 2 kilos of cocaine, one kilo of heroin, and over 50 pounds of mehtamphetamine was seized.
	2012-SBD-491	124	1,655	20	80	Intercepted communications have assisted with continuing investigation.
2012-SBD-492	56	664	33	67	Two people were arrested; 19 kilograms of cocaine, 11 pounds of methamphetamine, and \$71,395 were seized.	
2012-SBD-493	62	2,191	15	85	Stats are only for target telephone 8. Target telephone 7 was never set up; the number was dumped before the signing date.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-494	16	225	39	61	Intercepted communications have assisted with continuing investigation
San Diego	2011-SD-106	50	9,476	14	86	This wire investigation is ongoing and targeting one of the most violent Mexican DTOs currently in operation. Throughout the numerous wire intercepts obtained during this investigation DEA agents have been able to target high level members of this organizations which has led to significant drug seizures and arrests throughout California. These seizures and arrests have significantly reduced the availability of illegal drugs in California and other distribution points throughout the U.S. The multiple arrests have also taken violent drug offenders out of our communities, ultimately reducing the crime in those areas.
	2011-SD-107	50	10,212	11	89	This wire investigation is ongoing and targeting one of the most violent Mexican DTOs currently in operation. Throughout the numerous wire intercepts obtained during this investigation DEA agents have been able to target high level members of this organizations which has led to significant drug seizures and arrests throughout California. These seizures and arrests have significantly reduced the availability of illegal drugs in California and other distribution points throughout the U.S. The multiple arrests have also taken violent drug offenders out of our communities, ultimately reducing the crime in those areas.
	2012-SD-125	40	7,536	15	85	This investigation identified previously known and unknown drug organization members as still being active in Tijuana reaffirming that, although the organization may have sustained substantial losses, they remain a legitimate player in Southern California's drug problem. The immediate impact of this investigation benefits communities in the San Diego area; the larger overall impact is the identification of the organization's continued presence in drug trafficking.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Diego (cont'd)	2012-SD-128		0			This investigation (including wire orders 12-04 and 12-13) identified previously known and unknown drug organization members as still being active in Tijuana reaffirming that, although the organization may have sustained substantial losses, they remain a legitimate player in Southern California's drug problem. The immediate impact of this investigation benefits communities in the San Diego area; the larger overall impact is the identification of the organization's continued presence in drug trafficking.
	2012-SD-129	123	5,639	66	34	
	2012-SD-130	149	16,379	6	94	
	2012-SD-131	95	5,596	25	75	
	2012-SD-132	179	8,029	14	86	
	2012-SD-133	43	703	62	38	
	2012-SD-134	36	323	30	70	The overall impact of the dismantlement of the Ecstasy (MDMA) drug supply and financial base of this organization was significant over the course of this investigation. The arrests and seizures that were obtained during the course of this investigation have negatively impacted the ability of this DTO to continue to the facilitation of narcotic trafficking. The major violators of this DTO have been arrested and prosecuted. In addition, agents seized over \$135,000 in currency and assets from this DTO during the course of this investigation.
	2012-SD-135	139	3,977	32	68	
	2012-SD-136	75	3,946	37	63	
	2012-SD-137	48	2,765	28	72	During the course of this wire investigation agents seized 14.5 pounds of methamphetamine, 22 pounds of marijuana and an ounce of heroin.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Diego (cont'd)	2012-SD-138	219	1,913	16	84	This sophisticated, self-sufficient DTO was significantly impacted due to interceptions which led to arrests and drug/money seizures. \$82,000 was seized. Approximately 423 grams of methamphetamine and significant marijuana quantities were seized. Other monies were also seized. The drug and money seizures, as well as arrests, have resulted in the disruption of this DTO. These seizures/arrests have significantly affected DTO leadership as this disruption has caused leaders to question the trustworthiness/loyalty of subordinates.
	2012-SD-139	47	320	9	91	
	2012-SD-140	46	280	23	77	
	2012-SD-141	55	485	32	68	
	2012-SD-142	4	466	17	83	The interceptions were instrumental in the investigation, arrest and prosecution of a suspect who was actively planning to kill material witnesses. Trial is pending.
	2012-SD-143	12	2,952	7	93	During the wiretap investigation and interception of this target telephone, agents seized 14 kilograms of cocaine and made two arrests.
	2012-SD-144	56	1,879	22	78	Spinoff order from neighboring county. Concluded after 14 days.
	2012-SD-145	62	8,306	56	44	
	2012-SD-146	37	4,157	67	33	The target gang of this investigation had established a well organized criminal network that was impenetrable through traditional means of investigation. Through the wire intercepts investigators were able to identify and gather intelligence on their violent criminal gang activities.
	2012-SD-148	80	8,916	31	69	
	2012-SD-149	35	1,481	33	67	The target gang of this investigation had established a well organized drug distribution network that was impenetrable through traditional means of investigation. Through the wire intercepts investigators were able to identify their narcotic suppliers and distributors, as well as gather evidence of their criminal activity.
	2012-SD-150	40	9,245	24	76	
	2012-SD-151		11,073	19	81	
	2012-SD-152		4,496	8	92	
	2012-SD-153		9,331	24	76	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Diego (cont'd)	2012-SD-154		16,148	11	89	
	2012-SD-155		1,394	24	76	
	2012-SD-156	1	6	0	100	
	2012-SD-157	20	618	2	98	
	2012-SD-158	5	190	8	92	This wire was important to thwart the potential conspiracy to commit murder and kidnapping of a victim and to expose the potential coconspirators who were operating with the target subject.
	2012-SD-159		6,025	16	84	To date, this operation has resulted in the arrest of over 50 individuals and the seizure of over 1,000 pounds of methamphetamine, 200 pounds of cocaine, 30 pounds of heroin, 300 pounds of marijuana and \$200,000. The arrests and seizures from this investigation have had a significant impact, reducing the availability of dangerous drugs, and also the reduction of crimes that go along with drug distribution to include gang violence.
	2012-SD-160		2,785	5	95	The interceptions were important in an attempt to determine the identities of the parties responsible for committing several gang related shootings and murders over a short period of time, the impact of which was devastating to the community.
	2012-SD-161	1	8	0	0	The interceptions were made in an attempt to determine the identities of the parties responsible for distributing firearms to gang members that were used in several violent shootings. Investigators terminated interception after it became apparent the target was not actively using the target phone to make calls.
San Luis Obispo	2012-SLO-3	55	1,893	0	100	
	2012-SLO-4	111	3,122	0	100	
San Mateo	2012-SM-8	81	5,427	6	94	The suspect in this investigation is a member of one of the most notorious outlaw motorcycle gangs. This suspect fired several rounds from a handgun into an inhabited dwelling from the street.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Santa Barbara	2012-SBA-32	12	733			The intercepts in this case led to the seizure of four pounds of methamphetamine, two ounces of cocaine, \$6,364 in currency, and a stun gun. Interceptions also produced a lead to person which led to multiple wire intercepts and seizure of three pounds of heroin, three pounds of marijuana, two pounds of methamphetamine, and \$20,000 in currency. A total of nine arrests for both investigations.
	2012-SBA-34	39	1,200	0	100	Interception was for investigation into exigent threat against the life a police officer. Investigation yielded no evidence in support of threats reported.
	2012-SBA-35	36	2,054	15	85	The interceptions in this case led to the seizure of 21 grams of methamphetamine and the identification of a methamphetamine distributor. Case is still pending.
	2011-SBA-38	199	4,925			A new wiretap order to continue interception was obtained in LM12-0001.
	2012-SBA-39	199	5,723			Total seizures: 5,312 grams of heroin; 94 grams of cocaine; 30 grams of methamphetamine; 454 grams of marijuana; three firearms, \$70,812 cash; and three vehicles. One of the arrests was a federal fugitive who was returned to federal custody. In addition, a great deal of intelligence was gathered on cocaine producers in South America and organized crime groups in Mexico who are responsible for importation of controlled substances into the United States. There are more grand jury indictments and arrests expected in the future.
Santa Clara	2011-SCL-20	156	6,037	15	85	Agents have been able to identify numerous suspects with gang ties who are distributing narcotics and firearms throughout Santa Clara County and neighboring counties. Agents have confirmed four residential burglaries done by these gang members and believe these same gang members are involved in forty to fifty residential burglaries in Santa Clara County and neighboring counties. An undercover agent has purchased over two pounds of heroin from these gang members and we have identified significant ties to Mexican narcotic distributors.
	2011-SCL-22	7	275	25	75	This case is still pending.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2011-SCL-28	56	3,734	20	80	Case is ongoing. Other reference wiretaps related to this investigation - WT 11-11, WT 11-13, WT 11-16, WT 11-17, WT 11-20 and WT 11-22.
	2011-SCL-29	3	12	0	100	Case is ongoing. Other reference wiretaps related to this investigation - WT 11-11, WT 11-13, WT 11-16, WT 11-17, WT 11-20 and WT 11-22.
	2011-SCL-30	34	452	22	78	See WT 11-19 for summary of investigation.
	2012-SCL-33	8	981	44	56	This was a 6 month statewide multi-agency investigation into a drug cartel operating out of Santa Clara County. Over the course of this investigation agents seized 35 pounds of methamphetamine, 100 pounds of marijuana, 600 marijuana plants, and numerous vehicles used to transport narcotics. In addition, two methamphetamine conversion laboratories and four marijuana grow houses were located. Also eight individuals were arrested for charges including conspiracy, manufacturing of methamphetamine and possession for sale.
	2012-SCL-34	7	1,631	16	84	This was a 6 month statewide multi-agency investigation into a drug cartel operating out of Santa Clara County. Over the course of this investigation agents seized 35 pounds of methamphetamine, 100 pounds of marijuana, 600 marijuana plants, and numerous vehicles used to transport narcotics. In addition, two methamphetamine conversion laboratories and four marijuana grow houses were located. Also eight individuals were arrested for charges including conspiracy, manufacturing of methamphetamine and possession for sale.
	2012-SCL-35	21	1,298	57	43	Description of this wiretap investigation and results can be found on WT 12-05.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2012-SCL-37	21	244	5	95	The investigation was a joint California Department of Justice and Homeland Security investigation involving a street level gang distributing large quantities of heroin, firearms and other narcotics. The yearlong investigation, involving three months of wiretaps resulted in the arrests of 12 defendants and charges consisted of conspiracy, sales of heroin, residential burglary, possession of stolen property (firearms) and others referenced above. Approximately four pounds of heroin, nine firearms, various quantities of methamphetamine and marijuana were recovered. The wiretap investigation provided important leads on active murder investigations and dismantled a local narcotics distribution cell in the Santa Clara and San Mateo County areas.
	2012-SCL-38	14	495	22	78	This case is still under investigation. There is no statistical information to report at this time.
	2012-SCL-39	12	5,398	13	87	Case is still under investigation and there is no statistical information to report at this time.
	2012-SCL-40		0	0	0	The line was dropped on the same day the order was signed by the court. Since the telephone line was inactive prior to installation/interception, there will be no results to report.
	2012-SCL-41	2	531	34	66	This was a 6 month statewide multi-agency investigation into a drug cartel operating out of Santa Clara County. Over the course of this investigation agents seized 35 pounds of methamphetamine, 100 pounds of marijuana, 600 marijuana plants, and numerous vehicles used to transport narcotics. In addition, two methamphetamine conversion laboratories and four marijuana grow houses were located. Also eight individuals were arrested for charges including conspiracy, manufacturing of methamphetamine and possession for sale.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2012-SCL-43	26	229	11	89	The operation involved a local drug distribution cell that trafficked methamphetamine, heroin and marijuana from Southern California to Oregon. During the course of the wiretap investigation, the DEA seized five pounds of methamphetamine, two kilograms of heroin, 100 pounds of harvested marijuana, one firearm and two vehicles used to transport narcotics. A total of eight persons were arrested, charged and prosecuted for the drug conspiracy.
	2012-SCL-44	128	1,457	17	83	There is a component to the case that is still under investigation and pending. Statistical information related to the closed portion of the investigation will be reflected in WT 12-12.
	2012-SCL-45	79	9,277	29	71	A series of wiretaps were initiated after a seizure of about 750 pounds of methamphetamine. As a result, additional quantities of cocaine, methamphetamine and marijuana were recovered, as well as the seizure of over \$50,000 in currency. Additional evidence via the wiretap established ties to Mexico and tying a cartel to the local cell operations. The matter is currently being prosecuted by the District Attorney's Office Major Narcotics Prosecution Team.
	2012-SCL-46	6	383	8	92	This was an investigation into a missing teenager believed to be abducted. Physical evidence indicated that she may have been kidnapped with the intent to sexually assault. The wiretap was utilized in hopes to recover incriminating evidence of the suspect and located the victim or the victim's body. While the victim was not recovered, the wiretap resulted in incriminating evidence of the suspect that ultimately resulted in the arrest of the suspected kidnapper. The prosecution of the suspect is pending.
	2012-SCL-47	50	5,422	13	87	See WT 12-14 for results of the investigation.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2012-SCL-49	65	5,524	15	85	This was an investigation into a local Santa Clara County Narcotics distribution cell with ties to Los Angeles. At the conclusion of the investigation, search and arrest warrants were served based upon information derived from the wiretap. Five people were arrested; a total of four pounds of methamphetamine and 100 pounds of marijuana were recovered, as well as smaller quantities of cocaine. Two firearms were also recovered and \$3,300. This information is cross referenced to WT 12-13 wiretap.
	2012-SCL-50	23	2,880	12	88	Results of the investigation will be reflected on WT 12-16.
	2012-SCL-51	1	1,128	24	76	See WT 12-19 for more information regarding results.
	2012-SCL-52	30	525	10	90	Case is pending. See WT 12-18 for additional information regarding this investigation.
	2012-SCL-53	23	486	33	67	Case is still under investigation with WT 12-17.
	2012-SCL-54	21	1,924	29	71	This was an investigation into a narcotics distribution cell that went from Santa Barbara to Santa Clara County. The wiretap produced incriminating evidence tying multiple coconspirators into this drug trafficking organization. At the conclusion of the case, search warrants were served at six locations resulting in the arrest of five persons. The case resulted in the seizure of two pounds of methamphetamine, 1.25 pounds of heroin, three pounds of weed, \$20,000 in currency, and one firearm. All five arrestees were charged and currently being prosecuted.
Stanislaus	2012-STA-21	34	371	17.3	62.7	Nine arrests, one arrest warrant for an outstanding suspect. Seized: \$489,934, 44.16 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2012-STA-22	78	1,800	11	89	Suspects were involved in committing a homicide; without the intercept, detectives would have not been able to develop the case to the extent to arrest the offenders.
	2012-STA-23	40	673	1.3	98.7	Suspects were involved in the commission of a murder; and without the intercept detectives would have not been able to arrest the offenders.
	2012-STA-24	61	991	6	94	Suspects were involved in the commission of a murder; without the intercept detectives would have not been able to arrest the offenders.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Stanislaus (cont'd)	2012-STA-25	31	202			Suspects involved in the commission of a homicide: without the intercept the detectives would not have been able to arrest the offenders.
	2012-STA-26	36	392	30	70	Intercept was used to secure information and evidence in relation to the identity of those responsible for a double murder. Case would have likely not been solved without the intercept.
	2012-STA-27	10	84	33	67	Intercept was used to obtain information and evidence for the prosecution of two murder suspects for a double homicide. It is likely this case would have not been solved without the intercept.
	2012-STA-28	50	348	11	89	Intercept was needed to stop gang violence and assisted in solving a murder.
	2012-STA-29	113	5,000	12	88	Intercept was needed to solve murder and stop gang violence.
	2012-STA-30	0	0	1	1	Intercept was obtained to stop gang violence and assist in solving a murder. There were no communications on this particular number.
	2012-STA-31	28	935	11	89	The intercept was used to stop gang violence and assisted in solving a murder case.
	2012-STA-32	132	3,896	14	86	This intercept was necessary to stop gang violence and assist solving a murder.
	2012-STA-33	44	589	6	94	Intercept used to stop gang violence and assist solving a homicide.
	2012-STA-34	96	822	5.3	43.3	Refer to reference #12-20.
	2012-STA-35	27	972	3	97	Intercept was part of an effort to stop gang violence and assisted in solving a murder.
	2012-STA-36	25	399	15	85	Intercept was part of an effort to stop gang violence and assisted in solving a homicide.
	2012-STA-37	26	910	8	92	This intercept was obtained to stop gang violence and assisted in solving a murder case.
	2012-STA-38	19	121	7	93	This intercept was obtained to stop gang violence and assisted in solving a murder.
	2012-STA-39	107	3,882	5	95	This intercept was obtained to stop gang violence and assisted in solving a murder.
	2012-STA-40	15	120	2	98	The intercept was obtained to stop gang violence and assisted in solving a murder.
	2012-STA-41	15	289	8	92	Stop gang violence and help solve a murder.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Stanislaus (cont'd)	2012-STA-42	0	0			Device was deployed in an attempt to peacefully resolve incident related to a barricaded suspect who committed a double murder. Suspect committed suicide during the incident.
	2012-STA-43	69	1,621	2.8	32.5	Refer to 12-20.
	2012-STA-44	30	1,468	2.2	32.8	Refer to reference # 12-20.
	2012-STA-45	0	0			Identified source supplying methamphetamine to another state. Four pending federal indictments. No communications were intercepted on this particular wire; however, 12-18 and 12-19 related to this case intercepted communications.
Sutter	2012-SUT-2	106	9,897	7	93	Intelligence was gained and conversations intercepted regarding an ongoing homicide investigation as well as drug sales by local gang members. This will allow investigators to further the homicide case by interviewing additional potential witnesses. The drug sales information allowed for the arrest of various gang members on drug charges.
	2012-SUT-3	55	166	3	97	Intelligence was gained and conversations intercepted regarding an ongoing homicide investigation as well as drugs sales by local gang members. This will allow investigators to further the homicide case by interviewing additional potential witnesses. The drugs sales information allowed for the arrest of various gang members on drug charges.
	2012-SUT-4	71	4,134	3	97	Intelligence was gained and conversations intercepted regarding an ongoing homicide investigation as well as drug sales by local gang members. This will allow investigators to further the homicide case by interviewing additional potential witnesses. The drug sales information allowed for the arrest of various gang members on drug charges.
Ventura	2012-VE-42	30	8,183			The wiretap investigation identified three possible subjects for future arrests.
	2012-VE-45	7	41			The wiretap investigation identified three possible subjects for future arrests.
	2012-VE-46	42	5,786			The wiretap investigation identified two possible subjects for future arrests.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura (cont'd)	2012-VE-47		1,543			This wiretap resulted in four subsequent gang wiretaps that resulted in multiple arrests. See 12-09,12-12,12-15,12-18.
	2012-VE-48	72	9,301			This wiretap investigation identified three possible subjects for future arrests.
	2012-VE-50	1,054	22,025			
	2012-VE-51	5	4,478			
	2012-VE-52	33	18,753			This wiretap investigation identified three possible subjects for future arrests. Four ounces of methamphetamine was seized.
	2012-VE-53	1,054	32,864			The arrests are a culmination of 12-09, 12-15,12-18.
	2012-VE-54	2	859			
	2012-VE-56	1	647			
	2012-VE-57	1,054	5,400			Arrest number is a culmination of Wire Nos. 12-09,12-12,12-15,12-18.
	2012-VE-58	1	469			
	2012-VE-59	40	11,190			This wiretap investigation identified three possible subjects for future arrests. The one arrest involved six pounds of heroin, three pounds of methamphetamine, and one pound of cocaine seized. Approximately \$78,000 was seized.

N/A-Not applicable

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda	2012-ALA-4	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/8/2013			
	2012-ALA-5	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	2/16/2013			
	2012-ALA-6	O'Malley, DA	Hon. Rolefson	(Inventory due) 3/8/2013			
Imperial	2012-IM-57	Benner, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Yeager	(Inventory due) 7/25/2012			
	2012-IM-58	Benner, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Yeager	(Inventory due) 5/8/2012			
	2012-IM-59	Benner, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Lehman	(Inventory due) 7/26/2012			
	2012-IM-60	Benner, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Lehman	(Inventory due) 7/7/2012			
	2012-IM-61	Benner, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Lehman	(Inventory due) 6/13/2012			
	2012-IM-62	Benner, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Lehman	(Inventory due) 8/20/2012			
	2012-IM-63	Benner, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Lehman	(Inventory due) 9/12/2012			
	2012-IM-64	Benner, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Lehman	(Inventory due) 8/13/2012			
	2012-IM-65	Benner, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Lehman	(Inventory due) 8/30/2012			
	2012-IM-66	Benner, Special Agent in Charge	Hon. Lehman	(Inventory due) 9/27/2012			
	2012-IM-67	Brehm, DDA		(Inventory due) 5/18/2012			
	2012-IM-68	Brehm, DDA		(Inventory due) 6/8/2012			
	2012-IM-70	Brehm, DDA		(Inventory due) 4/3/2012			
	2012-IM-72	Brehm, DDA		(Inventory due) 6/8/2012			
	2012-IM-73	Brehm, DDA		(Inventory due) 8/8/2012			
	2012-IM-74	Brehm, DDA		(Inventory due) 9/14/2012			
	2012-IM-77	Brandenberg, DDA		(Inventory due) 2/2/2013			
	2012-IM-78	Brandenberg, DDA		(Inventory due) 3/9/2013			
	2012-IM-79	Brehm, DDA		(Inventory due) 7/4/2012			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Imperial (cont'd)	2012-IM-80	Brehm, DDA		(Inventory due) 9/13/2012			
	2012-IM-81	Brehm, DDA		(Inventory due) 8/31/2012			
	2012-IM-82	Brehm, DDA		(Inventory due) 9/3/2012			
	2012-IM-83	Brehm, DDA		(Inventory due) 10/4/2012			
Los Angeles	2012-LA-415	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/5/2012		11/21/2012	18
	2012-LA-416	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/5/2012		11/21/2012	18
	2012-LA-417	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/19/2012	9/19/2012		
	2012-LA-418	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/13/2012		4/23/2012	17
	2012-LA-419	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/31/2012		1/7/2013	74
	2012-LA-420	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/5/2012		11/21/2012	18
	2012-LA-421	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/19/2012			
	2012-LA-422	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/5/2012		11/21/2012	18
	2012-LA-423	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/27/2012		9/28/2012	42
	2012-LA-424	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/3/2013	1/3/2013		
	2012-LA-425	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/13/2012			
	2012-LA-426	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/5/2012		11/21/2012	18
	2012-LA-427	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 5/19/2012		4/23/2012	17
	2012-LA-428	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	5/17/2012		5/22/2012	9
	2012-LA-429	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/19/2012	9/19/2012		
	2012-LA-430	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/21/2012	12/7/2012		
	2012-LA-431	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/11/2012			
	2012-LA-432	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/19/2012	9/19/2012		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-433	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/19/2012	9/19/2012		
	2012-LA-434	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/19/2012	9/19/2012		
	2012-LA-435	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/28/2013	11/29/2012		
	2012-LA-436	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/19/2012	9/19/2012		
	2012-LA-437	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/23/2013	10/25/2012		
	2012-LA-438	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-439	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/10/2012		4/11/2012	
	2012-LA-440	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	7/20/2012		7/24/2012	37
	2012-LA-441	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/17/2012			
	2012-LA-447	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/21/2012			
	2012-LA-448	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/3/2012		7/3/2012	118
	2012-LA-449	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 5/26/2012			
	2012-LA-450	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	4/8/2013	1/8/2013		
	2012-LA-451	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-452	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 4/30/2012	12/7/2012		
	2012-LA-453	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/10/2012	11/2/2012		
	2012-LA-454	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/14/2013	11/14/2012		
	2012-LA-455	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/7/2012			
	2012-LA-456	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/20/2012			
	2012-LA-457	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-458	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/27/2012			
	2012-LA-459	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/27/2012			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-460	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/13/2012		11/27/2012	53
	2012-LA-461	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/23/2013	10/25/2012		
	2012-LA-462	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/30/2012			
	2012-LA-463	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/12/2013	12/13/2012		
	2012-LA-464	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/7/2012		9/11/2012	47
	2012-LA-465	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/30/2012			
	2012-LA-466	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/21/2012			
	2012-LA-467	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/14/2013	11/14/2012		
	2012-LA-468	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-469	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/18/2012			
	2012-LA-470	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 7/21/2012			
	2012-LA-471	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-472	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-473	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/5/2012		11/21/2012	18
	2012-LA-474	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/25/2013	10/25/2012		
	2012-LA-475	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/13/2012		11/21/2012	53
	2012-LA-476	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/29/2013	10/31/2012		
	2012-LA-477	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/14/2013	11/14/2012		
	2012-LA-478	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/14/2012			
	2012-LA-479	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 6/3/2012	11/2/2012		
	2012-LA-480	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/20/2012	12/17/2012		
	2012-LA-481	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-482	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/23/2013	10/12/2012		
	2012-LA-483	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/13/2012		11/27/2012	53
	2012-LA-484	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 8/25/2012			
	2012-LA-485	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-486	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	8/14/2012		8/15/2012	81
	2012-LA-487	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-488	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/23/2013	10/25/2012		
	2012-LA-489	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/7/2012		9/11/2012	47
	2012-LA-490	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-491	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-492	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-493	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/23/2013	10/25/2012		
	2012-LA-494	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/13/2012		11/27/2012	53
	2012-LA-495	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/16/2012	11/2/2012		
	2012-LA-496	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/20/2013	12/20/2012		
	2012-LA-497	Cooley, DA	Hon. Lomeli	(Inventory due) 10/1/2012	12/17/2012		
	2012-LA-498	Cooley, DA	Hon. Lomeli	1/23/2013	10/25/2012		
	2012-LA-499	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/13/2012	9/13/2012		
	2012-LA-500	Cooley, DA	Hon. Lomeli	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-501	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/27/2013	11/27/2012		
	2012-LA-502	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/23/2013	10/25/2012		
	2012-LA-503	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/13/2012	12/17/2012		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-504	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/4/2012	11/2/2012		
	2012-LA-505	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 10/6/2012	11/2/2012		
	2012-LA-506	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/26/2012		10/4/2012	
	2012-LA-507	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/26/2012		10/4/2012	
	2012-LA-508	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	12/27/2012		1/2/2013	90
	2012-LA-509	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/26/2012		10/4/2012	
	2012-LA-510	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/10/2012		9/11/2012	10
	2012-LA-511	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/26/2012		10/4/2012	
	2012-LA-512	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/1/2012		10/4/2012	13
	2012-LA-513	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	10/17/2012		10/19/2012	18
	2012-LA-514	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/24/2012			
	2012-LA-515	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/19/2012	11/19/2012		
	2012-LA-516	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/28/2013	10/30/2012		
	2012-LA-517	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/15/2013	11/15/2012		
	2012-LA-519	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/26/2012		10/4/2012	
	2012-LA-520	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/9/2012		11/13/2012	12
	2012-LA-521	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/15/2013	11/15/2012		
	2012-LA-522	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/19/2013	11/19/2012		
	2012-LA-523	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/22/2013	10/24/2012		
	2012-LA-524	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/19/2013	11/19/2012		
	2012-LA-525	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/14/2013	11/14/2012		
	2012-LA-526	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/27/2013	10/28/2012		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-527	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/14/2013	11/14/2012		
	2012-LA-528	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/19/2013	11/19/2012		
	2012-LA-529	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/13/2013	11/15/2012		
	2012-LA-530	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/26/2012		10/4/2012	
	2012-LA-531	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/19/2013	11/19/2012		
	2012-LA-532	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/28/2013	11/28/2012		
	2012-LA-533	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/18/2013	11/20/2012		
	2012-LA-534	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/3/2013	12/31/2012		
	2012-LA-535	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	11/9/2012		11/13/2012	2
	2012-LA-536	Cooley, DA		2/28/2013	11/28/2012		
	2012-LA-538	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/28/2013	11/28/2012		
	2012-LA-539	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-540	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/7/2013	12/7/2012		
	2012-LA-541	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/19/2013	11/19/2012		
	2012-LA-542	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-543	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013	12/21/2012		
	2012-LA-544	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/28/2013	11/30/2012		
	2012-LA-545	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013			
	2012-LA-546	Cooley, DA		(Inventory due) 7/22/2012			
	2012-LA-547	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 11/22/2012	11/16/2012		
	2012-LA-548	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/23/2013	10/25/2012		
	2012-LA-549	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/14/2013	11/14/2012		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-550	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/11/2013	10/15/2012		
	2012-LA-551	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/13/2013	12/13/2012		
	2012-LA-552	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 12/1/2012			
	2012-LA-553	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/31/2013	12/31/2012		
	2012-LA-554	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/22/2013			
	2012-LA-555	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 9/4/2012	11/30/2012		
	2012-LA-556	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/25/2012		10/9/2012	213
	2012-LA-557	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/7/2013	12/7/2012		
	2012-LA-558	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	9/25/2012		10/9/2012	213
	2012-LA-559	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/13/2013	12/13/2012		
	2012-LA-560	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/31/2013	12/31/2012		
	2012-LA-561	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/28/2013			
	2012-LA-562	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/25/2013	11/27/2012		
	2012-LA-563	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/5/2013			
	2012-LA-564	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/12/2013	12/13/2012		
	2012-LA-565	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/7/2013			
	2012-LA-566	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/13/2013	12/13/2012		
	2012-LA-568	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	(Inventory due) 1/14/2013			
	2012-LA-569	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/29/2013			
	2012-LA-570	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/30/2013			
	2012-LA-571	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/24/2013			
	2012-LA-572	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/30/2013			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-573	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/2/2013			
	2012-LA-574	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/7/2013			
	2012-LA-575	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/13/2013			
	2012-LA-576	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/28/2013			
	2012-LA-577	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/23/2013			
	2012-LA-578	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/27/2013			
	2012-LA-579	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/5/2013			
	2012-LA-580	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/7/2013			
	2012-LA-581	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/7/2013			
	2012-LA-582	Lacey, CDDA	Hon. Fidler	2/18/2013	11/20/2012		
	2012-LA-583	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/2/2013			
	2012-LA-584	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	1/29/2013			
	2012-LA-585	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/2/2013			
	2012-LA-586	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	2/5/2013			
	2012-LA-587	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/19/2013			
	2012-LA-588	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/18/2013			
	2012-LA-589	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/17/2013			
	2012-LA-590	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/21/2013			
	2012-LA-591	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/27/2013			
	2012-LA-592	Cooley, DA	Hon. Fidler	3/20/2013			
Merced	2012-MER-19	Goold, CDDA	Hon. MCCABE	(Inventory due) 11/7/2012			
	2012-MER-20	Goold, CDDA	Hon. MCCABE	(Inventory due) 11/7/2012			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Merced (cont'd)	2012-MER-21	Goold, CDDA	Hon. MCCABE	(Inventory due) 11/7/2012			
	2012-MER-22	Goold, CDDA	Hon. MCCABE	(Inventory due) 11/7/2012			
	2012-MER-23	Goold, CDDA	Hon. MCCABE	(Inventory due) 11/7/2012			
	2012-MER-24	Johnston, CALDOJ Special Investigations Agent	Hon. MCCABE	(Inventory due) 12/9/2012			
Orange	2012-OR-68	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Pricett	8/6/2012	5/9/2012		
	2012-OR-69	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Pricett	8/6/2012	5/9/2012		
	2012-OR-70	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	5/23/2012		5/30/2012	30
	2012-OR-72	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Donahue	1/31/2013	10/2/2012		
	2012-OR-73	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	1/31/2013	10/2/2012		
	2012-OR-74	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	1/31/2013	10/2/2012		
	2012-OR-75	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Donahue	11/15/2012	8/17/2012		
	2012-OR-76	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	12/19/2012	9/12/2012		
	2012-OR-77	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	11/20/2012			
	2012-OR-78	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	12/21/2012			
	2012-OR-79	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	1/9/2013			
	2012-OR-80	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	2/7/2013			
	2012-OR-81	Rackauckas, DA	Hon. Prickett	3/14/2013			
Riverside	2011-RIV-89	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/9/2012			0
	2011-RIV-152	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/15/2012			
	2011-RIV-168	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/7/2012		3/12/2012	1
	2011-RIV-175	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/1/2012			
	2011-RIV-189	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/13/2012	3/13/2012	10/29/2012	3

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2011-RIV-190	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/16/2012	3/13/2012	10/29/2012	1
	2011-RIV-214	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/3/2012			
	2011-RIV-215	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/11/2012			
	2011-RIV-222	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/12/2012		9/4/2012	9
	2011-RIV-225	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	3/12/2012			
	2011-RIV-226	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/15/2012			
	2012-RIV-227	Zellerbach, DA		5/6/2012		3/12/2012	4
	2012-RIV-237	Zellerbach, DA		5/9/2012		3/12/2012	3
	2012-RIV-238	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	5/24/2012		3/14/2012	1
	2012-RIV-239	Zellerbach, DA		5/12/2012		9/4/2012	4
	2012-RIV-240	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	5/11/2012			
	2012-RIV-241	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	(Inventory due) 4/12/2012			
	2012-RIV-242	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/28/2012			
	2012-RIV-243	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/8/2012		7/12/2012	5
	2012-RIV-244	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	4/28/2012		7/12/2012	4
	2012-RIV-245	Zellerbach, DA		6/3/2012		7/12/2012	10
	2012-RIV-246	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/8/2012		7/12/2012	10
	2012-RIV-247	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	4/18/2012			
	2012-RIV-255	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	5/29/2012		10/23/2012	16
	2012-RIV-256	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/27/2012		10/23/2012	22
	2012-RIV-260	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	4/13/2012			
	2012-RIV-261	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	5/29/2012		5/3/2012	4

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-263	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/14/2012			
	2012-RIV-264	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/13/2012		9/24/2012	29
	2012-RIV-265	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/7/2012		9/24/2012	5
	2012-RIV-266	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	5/1/2012			
	2012-RIV-267	Zellerbach, DA		6/16/2012			
	2012-RIV-268	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	4/10/2012			
	2012-RIV-269	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	5/16/2012		9/24/2012	32
	2012-RIV-270	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/15/2012		7/12/2012	8
	2012-RIV-271	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/22/2012		7/12/2012	5
	2012-RIV-272	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/23/2012		7/12/2012	8
	2012-RIV-273	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/23/2012		7/12/2012	14
	2012-RIV-274	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/22/2012		7/12/2012	2
	2012-RIV-275	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/6/2012	9/7/2012		
	2012-RIV-276	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/4/2012		7/12/2012	8
	2012-RIV-277	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	5/22/2012			
	2012-RIV-278	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	5/7/2012		12/27/2012	3
	2012-RIV-279	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/10/2012			
	2012-RIV-280	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	5/15/2012		3/12/2012	4
	2012-RIV-281	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/14/2012		7/24/2012	4
	2012-RIV-282	Zellerbach, DA		6/6/2012			
	2012-RIV-283	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/29/2012			
	2012-RIV-284	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/1/2012		12/10/2012	3

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-285	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/18/2012		12/10/2012	3
	2012-RIV-286	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/5/2012			
	2012-RIV-287	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/1/2012			
	2012-RIV-288	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/6/2012		12/10/2012	3
	2012-RIV-289	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/18/2012		12/10/2012	1
	2012-RIV-290	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	5/8/2012			
	2012-RIV-291	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	5/24/2012			
	2012-RIV-292	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/9/2012			
	2012-RIV-293	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Luebs	7/5/2012		10/9/2012	6
	2012-RIV-294	Zellerbach, DA		6/22/2012			
	2012-RIV-295	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	7/29/2012		9/24/2012	32
	2012-RIV-296	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/12/2012		9/4/2012	9
	2012-RIV-297	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/21/2012			0
	2012-RIV-298	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/13/2012			
	2012-RIV-299	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/27/2012		6/20/2012	3
	2012-RIV-300	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/24/2012			
	2012-RIV-301	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/27/2012		9/24/2012	2
	2012-RIV-302	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/5/2012	9/7/2012		
	2012-RIV-303	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/13/2012			0
	2012-RIV-304	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/13/2012			
	2012-RIV-305	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/18/2012			
	2012-RIV-306	Zellerbach, DA		7/12/2012			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-307	Zellerbach, DA		7/25/2012			
	2012-RIV-308	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/21/2012			0
	2012-RIV-309	Zellerbach, DA		6/30/2012			0
	2012-RIV-310	Zellerbach, DA		7/26/2012			
	2012-RIV-311	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Webster	7/5/2012		9/24/2012	8
	2012-RIV-312	Zellerbach, DA		7/25/2012		9/12/2012	1
	2012-RIV-313	Zellerbach, DA		7/25/2012		9/4/2012	1
	2012-RIV-314	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/24/2012			
	2012-RIV-315	Zellerbach, DA		8/5/2012		9/4/2012	4
	2012-RIV-316	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/30/2012		9/4/2012	4
	2012-RIV-317	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/19/2012			
	2012-RIV-318	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	8/13/2012		9/4/2012	5
	2012-RIV-319	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/17/2012			
	2012-RIV-320	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	6/29/2012			0
	2012-RIV-321	Zellerbach, DA		7/17/2012			
	2012-RIV-322	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	8/11/2012		12/10/2012	3
	2012-RIV-323	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	8/9/2012			
	2012-RIV-324	Zellerbach, DA		7/27/2012		6/20/2012	2
	2012-RIV-325	Zellerbach, DA		8/18/2012			
	2012-RIV-326	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	9/1/2012		6/20/2012	3
	2012-RIV-327	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	9/1/2012			
	2012-RIV-328	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	8/12/2012	11/29/2012		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-329	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	8/24/2012			
	2012-RIV-330	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	8/16/2012			
	2012-RIV-331	Zellerbach, DA		9/16/2012			0
	2012-RIV-332	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	12/3/2012	10/16/2012	11/28/2012	3
	2012-RIV-333	Zellerbach, DA		8/30/2012		9/4/2012	8
	2012-RIV-334	Zellerbach, DA		9/2/2012			0
	2012-RIV-335	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	9/8/2012		9/4/2012	2
	2012-RIV-336	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	9/10/2012			0
	2012-RIV-337	Zellerbach, DA		8/3/2012		9/24/2012	9
	2012-RIV-338	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	8/29/2012		10/23/2012	11
	2012-RIV-339	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	9/14/2012		10/23/2012	18
	2012-RIV-340	Zellerbach, DA		9/12/2012		7/24/2012	4
	2012-RIV-341	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	8/23/2012		12/10/2012	1
	2012-RIV-342	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	9/15/2012		9/4/2012	4
	2012-RIV-343	Zellerbach, DA		9/1/2012	9/11/2012		
	2012-RIV-344	Zellerbach, DA		9/1/2012	9/25/2012		0
	2012-RIV-345	Zellerbach, DA		7/26/2012			
	2012-RIV-347	Zellerbach, DA		6/3/2012		12/10/2012	7
	2012-RIV-348	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/4/2012			
	2012-RIV-349	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/22/2012			
	2012-RIV-351	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	7/12/2012			
	2012-RIV-352	Zellerbach, DA		7/6/2012			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-353	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	9/24/2012			
	2012-RIV-354	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. WEBSTER	9/24/2012			
	2012-RIV-355	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	10/6/2012		10/29/2012	8
	2012-RIV-356	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	8/18/2012			
	2012-RIV-357	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	9/28/2012			
	2012-RIV-359	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	8/27/2012			
	2012-RIV-360	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	8/21/2012	9/11/2012		
	2012-RIV-361	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	(Inventory due) 9/22/2012			
	2012-RIV-362	Zellerbach, DA		9/2/2012		10/9/2012	6
	2012-RIV-363	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	9/8/2012	9/11/2012		
	2012-RIV-364	Zellerbach, DA		9/2/2012			
	2012-RIV-365	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	11/2/2012			
	2012-RIV-366	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	9/14/2012			
	2012-RIV-367	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	9/15/2012			
	2012-RIV-368	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	9/16/2012			
	2012-RIV-369	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. LEVINE	9/16/2012		9/4/2012	1
	2012-RIV-370	Zellerbach, DA		10/12/2012			
	2012-RIV-371	Zellerbach, DA		(Inventory due) 9/9/2012			
	2012-RIV-372	Zellerbach, DA		10/5/2012			
	2012-RIV-373	Zellerbach, DA		9/20/2012			
	2012-RIV-374	Zellerbach, DA		9/29/2012		12/10/2012	2
	2012-RIV-375	Zellerbach, DA		10/3/2012			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-376	Zellerbach, DA		10/11/2012		10/23/2012	12
	2012-RIV-377	Zellerbach, DA		10/1/2012			
	2012-RIV-378	Zellerbach, DA		3/21/2013			
	2012-RIV-379	Zellerbach, DA		10/4/2012			
	2012-RIV-380	Zellerbach, DA		10/12/2012			
	2012-RIV-381	Zellerbach, DA		10/29/2012			
	2012-RIV-382	Zellerbach, DA		(Inventory due) 9/12/2012			
	2012-RIV-383	Zellerbach, DA		9/25/2012	9/11/2012		
	2012-RIV-384	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Webster	9/24/2012			
	2012-RIV-385	Zellerbach, DA		10/14/2012			
	2012-RIV-386	Zellerbach, DA		11/13/2012			
	2012-RIV-387	Zellerbach, DA		10/12/2012		10/30/2012	104
	2012-RIV-388	Zellerbach, DA		10/14/2012			
	2012-RIV-389	Zellerbach, DA		10/30/2012			
	2012-RIV-390	Zellerbach, DA		10/14/2012			
	2012-RIV-391	Zellerbach, DA		11/15/2012			
	2012-RIV-392	Zellerbach, DA		11/10/2012			
	2012-RIV-394	Zellerbach, DA		10/15/2012			
	2012-RIV-395	Zellerbach, DA		10/21/2012	11/29/2012		
	2012-RIV-396	Zellerbach, DA		10/15/2012	9/11/2012		
	2012-RIV-397	Zellerbach, DA		10/19/2012			
	2012-RIV-398	Zellerbach, DA		10/15/2012			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-399	Zellerbach, DA		10/19/2012		1/15/2013	12
	2012-RIV-400	Zellerbach, DA		10/20/2012			
	2012-RIV-401	Zellerbach, DA		10/25/2012		10/30/2012	104
	2012-RIV-403	Zellerbach, DA		12/14/2012			
	2012-RIV-404	Zellerbach, DA		10/25/2012			
	2012-RIV-405	Zellerbach, DA		11/25/2012		10/29/2012	101
	2012-RIV-406	Zellerbach, DA		10/24/2012			
	2012-RIV-407	Zellerbach, DA		11/22/2012			
	2012-RIV-408	Zellerbach, DA		10/27/2012			
	2012-RIV-409	Zellerbach, DA		11/15/2012			
	2012-RIV-410	Zellerbach, DA		11/7/2012		10/29/2012	101
	2012-RIV-411	Zellerbach, DA		12/6/2012			
	2012-RIV-412	Zellerbach, DA		10/11/2012			
	2012-RIV-413	Zellerbach, DA		11/8/2012			
	2012-RIV-414	Zellerbach, DA		11/19/2012			
	2012-RIV-415	Zellerbach, DA		12/9/2012			
	2012-RIV-417	Zellerbach, DA		11/10/2012			
	2012-RIV-418	Zellerbach, DA		10/24/2012			
	2012-RIV-419	Zellerbach, DA		10/29/2012			
	2012-RIV-420	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Levine	4/19/2012			
	2012-RIV-421	Zellerbach, DA		11/16/2012			
	2012-RIV-422	Zellerbach, DA		11/16/2012			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-423	Zellerbach, DA		11/16/2012			
	2012-RIV-424	Zellerbach, DA		11/17/2012			
	2012-RIV-425	Zellerbach, DA		2/9/2013			
	2012-RIV-426	Zellerbach, DA		11/22/2012			
	2012-RIV-427	Zellerbach, DA		11/26/2012			
	2012-RIV-428	Zellerbach, DA		11/23/2012			
	2012-RIV-429	Zellerbach, DA		11/19/2012			
	2012-RIV-430	Zellerbach, DA		12/13/2012			
	2012-RIV-431	Zellerbach, DA		11/26/2012			
	2012-RIV-432	Zellerbach, DA		11/26/2012		12/27/2012	4
	2012-RIV-433	Zellerbach, DA		12/23/2012			
	2012-RIV-434	Zellerbach, DA		11/25/2012			
	2012-RIV-435	Zellerbach, DA		12/19/2012			
	2012-RIV-437	Zellerbach, DA		12/22/2012			
	2012-RIV-438	Zellerbach, DA		12/6/2012			
	2012-RIV-439	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/6/2012			
	2012-RIV-440	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/6/2012			
	2012-RIV-441	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Leubs	3/31/2012			
	2012-RIV-442	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Leubs	4/19/2012			
	2012-RIV-443	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/9/2012			
	2012-RIV-444	ADA	Hon. Hernandez	11/28/2012			
	2012-RIV-445	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/9/2012		12/27/2012	2

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-446	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/4/2012			
	2012-RIV-447	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/8/2012			
	2012-RIV-448	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/13/2012			
	2012-RIV-449	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/9/2012	11/29/2012		
	2012-RIV-450	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/12/2013			
	2012-RIV-451	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/12/2012			
	2012-RIV-452	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/14/2012			
	2012-RIV-453	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/14/2012		11/30/2012	3
	2012-RIV-454	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/24/2013			
	2012-RIV-455	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/6/2013			
	2012-RIV-456	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/13/2012			
	2012-RIV-457	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/5/2012			
	2012-RIV-458	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/23/2012			
	2012-RIV-459	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/22/2012			
	2012-RIV-460	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/22/2012			
	2012-RIV-461	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/21/2013		12/27/2012	8
	2012-RIV-462	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/22/2012			
	2012-RIV-463	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	8/13/2012			
	2012-RIV-464	Zellerbach, DA		11/30/2012			
	2012-RIV-465	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/20/2012			
	2012-RIV-466	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/9/2013			
	2012-RIV-467	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/9/2013			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-468	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/2/2013			
	2012-RIV-469	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	12/24/2012			
	2012-RIV-470	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/11/2013			
	2012-RIV-471	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/8/2013			
	2012-RIV-472	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/12/2013			
	2012-RIV-473	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/12/2013			
	2012-RIV-474	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/11/2013			
	2012-RIV-475	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/12/2013			
	2012-RIV-476	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/12/2013			
	2012-RIV-477	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/21/2013			
	2012-RIV-478	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/18/2013			
	2012-RIV-479	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/19/2013			
	2012-RIV-480	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/9/2013			
	2012-RIV-481	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/16/2013			
	2012-RIV-482	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/20/2013			
	2012-RIV-483	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/7/2013			
	2012-RIV-484	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/21/2013			
	2012-RIV-485	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/16/2013			
	2012-RIV-486	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/21/2013			
	2012-RIV-487	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/2/2013			
	2012-RIV-488	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/10/2013			
	2012-RIV-490	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/1/2013			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-492	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/8/2013			
	2012-RIV-493	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/2/2013			
	2012-RIV-494	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/3/2013			
	2012-RIV-495	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/7/2013			
	2012-RIV-496	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/9/2014			
	2012-RIV-497	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/9/2013			
	2012-RIV-498	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/13/2013			
	2012-RIV-499	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/8/2013			
	2012-RIV-500	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/10/2013			
	2012-RIV-501	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/15/2013			
	2012-RIV-502	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/13/2013			
	2012-RIV-503	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/16/2013			
	2012-RIV-504	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/14/2013			
	2012-RIV-506	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/16/2013			
	2012-RIV-507	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/15/2013			
	2012-RIV-508	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/14/2013			
	2012-RIV-509	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/14/2013			
	2012-RIV-512	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	1/29/2013			
	2012-RIV-514	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/16/2013			
	2012-RIV-515	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/17/2013			
	2012-RIV-516	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/21/2013			
	2012-RIV-517	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/18/2013			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-518	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/22/2013			
	2012-RIV-520	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/25/2013			
	2012-RIV-521	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/24/2013			
	2012-RIV-522	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/28/2013			
	2012-RIV-523	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/28/2013			
	2012-RIV-525	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/1/2013			
	2012-RIV-526	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/30/2013			
	2012-RIV-527	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/1/2013			
	2012-RIV-530	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/1/2013			
	2012-RIV-531	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/6/2013			
	2012-RIV-532	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/5/2013			
	2012-RIV-534	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/6/2013			
	2012-RIV-536	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/18/2013			
	2012-RIV-537	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/26/2013			
	2012-RIV-538	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/7/2013			
	2012-RIV-540	Zellerbach, DA		3/7/2013			
	2012-RIV-541	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/9/2013			
	2012-RIV-543	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/15/2013			
	2012-RIV-544	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/13/2013			
	2012-RIV-546	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/28/2013			
	2012-RIV-547	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/26/2013			
	2012-RIV-548	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/16/2013			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-549	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/20/2013			
	2012-RIV-550	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/26/2013			
	2012-RIV-554	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/24/2013			
	2012-RIV-555	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/12/2013			
	2012-RIV-556	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/27/2012			
	2012-RIV-557	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/14/2013			
	2012-RIV-558	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/14/2012			
	2012-RIV-559	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/15/2013			
	2012-RIV-560	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/28/2013			
	2012-RIV-561	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/28/2013			
	2012-RIV-562	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/31/2013			
	2012-RIV-563	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/30/2013			
	2012-RIV-573	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	2/14/2013			
	2012-RIV-583	Zellerbach, DA	Hon. Hernandez	3/18/2013			
Sacramento	2012-SAC-41	Grippi, ACDDA	Hon. White	9/26/2012			
	2012-SAC-42	Grippi, ACDDA	Hon. White	11/8/2012			
	2012-SAC-43	Grippi, ACDDA	Hon. White	11/15/2012			
	2012-SAC-44	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	9/7/2012	8/20/2012		0
San Bernardino	2011-SBD-416	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	4/6/2012		10/18/2012	
	2012-SBD-417	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	5/24/2012		5/24/2012	
	2012-SBD-418	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/16/2012		3/12/2012	
	2012-SBD-420	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	5/18/2012		3/2/2012	18

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-422	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	5/18/2012		12/18/2012	2
	2012-SBD-423	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	(Inventory due) 4/13/2012		3/5/2012	132
	2012-SBD-424	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	6/10/2012		10/16/2012	3
	2012-SBD-426	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/30/2012		3/2/2012	41
	2012-SBD-428	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	5/24/2012		3/2/2012	31
	2012-SBD-430	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	4/16/2012		12/18/2012	2
	2012-SBD-431	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/31/2012	12/14/2012		
	2012-SBD-432	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/16/2012		3/5/2012	5
	2012-SBD-433	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/31/2012		10/17/2012	196
	2012-SBD-434	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	6/22/2012	12/14/2012		
	2012-SBD-435	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	8/1/2012		10/17/2012	36
	2012-SBD-436	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	5/23/2012	7/31/2012		
	2012-SBD-437	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	5/23/2012		3/12/2012	7
	2012-SBD-438	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/30/2012		4/20/2012	2
	2012-SBD-439	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	6/1/2012		10/18/2012	316
	2012-SBD-440	Ramos, DA	Hon. Harrison	7/27/2012			
	2012-SBD-441	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	6/8/2012	12/14/2012		
	2012-SBD-442	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	6/8/2012			
	2012-SBD-443	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Harrison	6/22/2012		10/18/2012	61
	2012-SBD-444	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/5/2012		4/20/2012	8
	2012-SBD-445	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	8/31/2012		12/18/2012	7
	2012-SBD-446	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/11/2012	11/8/2012		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-447	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/13/2012		10/16/2012	22
	2012-SBD-448	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/11/2012		10/19/2012	273
	2012-SBD-449	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	9/5/2012	12/14/2012		
	2012-SBD-450	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/18/2012	7/31/2012		
	2012-SBD-451	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/20/2012		1/24/2013	10
	2012-SBD-452	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	8/22/2012		12/18/2012	3
	2012-SBD-453	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	7/25/2012	1/22/2013		
	2012-SBD-454	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	8/1/2012		1/17/2013	4
	2012-SBD-455	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	8/31/2012		10/17/2012	178
	2012-SBD-456	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	11/9/2012		10/16/2012	23
	2012-SBD-457	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	9/14/2012		12/18/2012	2
	2012-SBD-458	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	8/17/2012			
	2012-SBD-460	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	8/22/2012	12/14/2012		
	2012-SBD-461	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	8/29/2012		10/16/2012	66
	2012-SBD-462	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	9/28/2012	12/14/2012		
	2012-SBD-463	Ramos, DA	Hon. Harrison	10/5/2012		12/18/2012	8
	2012-SBD-464	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	12/7/2012	1/3/2013		
	2012-SBD-465	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	9/14/2012	12/14/2012		
	2012-SBD-466	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	9/18/2012		12/21/2012	4
	2012-SBD-467	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	10/19/2012	12/14/2012		
	2012-SBD-468	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	9/21/2012		1/14/2013	26
	2012-SBD-469	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	10/17/2012		10/16/2012	5

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-470	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	6/1/2012	11/29/2012		
	2012-SBD-471	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	5/11/2012		4/20/2012	8
	2012-SBD-472	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	4/4/2012		12/18/2012	4
	2012-SBD-473	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	4/6/2012			
	2012-SBD-474	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	10/5/2012	10/10/2012		
	2012-SBD-475	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	7/4/2012		10/11/2012	50
	2012-SBD-476	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	4/11/2012		12/18/2012	1
	2012-SBD-477	Ramos, DA	Hon. Harrison	5/9/2012		3/2/2012	31
	2012-SBD-478	Ramos, DA	Hon. Harrison	7/20/2012		10/11/2012	80
	2012-SBD-479	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	10/19/2012		10/16/2012	49
	2012-SBD-480	Christy, ADA	Hon. Pace	10/30/2012			
	2012-SBD-481	Christy, ADA	Hon. Pace	10/31/2012	12/14/2012		
	2012-SBD-482	Christy, ADA	Hon. Pace	11/2/2012		10/16/2012	19
	2012-SBD-483	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	11/14/2012			
	2012-SBD-484	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	12/12/2012	12/14/2012		
	2012-SBD-485	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	11/15/2012		10/16/2012	8
	2012-SBD-486	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	(Inventory due) 1/4/2013			
	2012-SBD-487	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	11/22/2012	1/3/2013		
	2012-SBD-488	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	12/26/2012	1/3/2013		
	2012-SBD-489	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	12/26/2012	1/22/2013		
	2012-SBD-490	Fermin, ADA	Hon. Pace	(Inventory due) 1/16/2013			
	2012-SBD-491	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	1/18/2013		1/8/2013	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-492	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	2/27/2013			
	2012-SBD-493	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	3/8/2013			
	2012-SBD-494	Ramos, DA	Hon. Pace	3/14/2013			
San Diego	2011-SD-106	Sherman, AUSA	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 7/22/2012	12/21/2012		
	2011-SD-107	Sherman, AUSA	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 4/21/2012	10/2/2012		
	2012-SD-125	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	5/22/2012			52
	2012-SD-128	Hill, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 7/25/2012			
	2012-SD-129	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	7/31/2012	5/4/2012	8/15/2012	357
	2012-SD-130	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	7/31/2012	5/4/2012	8/15/2012	357
	2012-SD-131	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	7/31/2012	5/4/2012	8/15/2012	357
	2012-SD-132	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	7/31/2012	5/4/2012	8/15/2012	357
	2012-SD-133	Hill, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	7/31/2012	5/4/2012	8/15/2012	357
	2012-SD-134	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	7/31/2012	5/4/2012	8/15/2012	357
	2012-SD-135	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	9/10/2012	8/8/2012	9/21/2012	724
	2012-SD-136	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	9/10/2012		9/21/2012	724
	2012-SD-137	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	9/10/2012		9/21/2012	724
	2012-SD-138	Torres, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 8/29/2012	8/15/2012		
	2012-SD-139	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 7/15/2012	8/15/2012		
	2012-SD-140	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 9/5/2012	8/15/2012		
	2012-SD-141	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Daniels	(Inventory due) 10/6/2012	8/15/2012		
	2012-SD-142	Lansdowne, Chief of Police	Hon. Daniels	5/10/2012		5/10/2012	4
	2012-SD-143	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Rogers	10/15/2012		10/22/2012	8

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Diego (cont'd)	2012-SD-144	Torres, Acting SAC	Hon. Danielsen	11/16/2012		11/30/2012	6
	2012-SD-145	McCoy, Chief of Police	Hon. Danielsen	10/5/2012		10/18/2012	
	2012-SD-146	McCoy, Chief of Police	Hon. Danielsen	10/5/2012		10/18/2012	
	2012-SD-148	McCoy, Chief of Police	Hon. Danielsen	10/5/2012		10/18/2012	
	2012-SD-149	McCoy, Chief of Police	Hon. Danielsen	10/5/2012		10/18/2012	
	2012-SD-150	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Danielsen	(Inventory due) 8/1/2012	12/21/2012		
	2012-SD-151	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Danielsen	(Inventory due) 11/28/2012	11/20/2012		
	2012-SD-152	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Danielsen	(Inventory due) 10/9/2012	10/2/2012		
	2012-SD-153	Torres, Acting SAC	Hon. Danielsen	(Inventory due) 1/23/2013			
	2012-SD-154	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Danielsen	(Inventory due) 12/14/2012	12/7/2012		
	2012-SD-155	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Danielsen	(Inventory due) 1/23/2013			
	2012-SD-156	Lansdowne, Chief of Police	Hon. Danielsen	1/4/2013		1/18/2013	14
	2012-SD-157	Lansdowne, Chief of Police	Hon. Danielsen	1/4/2013		1/18/2013	20
	2012-SD-158	Lansdowne, Chief of Police	Hon. Danielsen	1/4/2013		1/18/2013	5
	2012-SD-159	Sherman, Acting SAC	Hon. Rogers	(Inventory due) 3/14/2013			
	2012-SD-160	Lansdowne, Chief of Police	Hon. Danielsen	(Inventory due) 11/8/2012	11/2/2012		
	2012-SD-161	Lansdowne, Chief of Police	Hon. Danielsen	(Inventory due) 12/12/2012	11/2/2012		
San Luis Obispo	2012-SLO-3	Shea, DA	Hon. Trice	8/15/2012		8/11/2012	166
	2012-SLO-4	Shea, DA	Hon. Trice	8/15/2012		8/11/2012	166
San Mateo	2012-SM-8	Wagstaffe, DA	Hon. Grandsaert	12/21/2012	10/2/2012	12/27/2012	23
Santa Barbara	2012-SBA-32	Carrozzo, DDA	Hon. Hill	8/30/2012	10/23/2012	1/23/2013	6
	2012-SBA-34	Ross, DDA	Hon. Garcia	4/20/2012		4/19/2012	39

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Santa Barbara (cont'd)	2012-SBA-35	Ross, DDA	Hon. Garcia	2/19/2013		1/10/2013	36
	2011-SBA-38	Dudley, DA	Hon. Garcia	(Inventory due) 4/25/2012	2/25/2013		
	2012-SBA-39	Dudley, DA	Hon. Garcia	(Inventory due) 5/25/2012	2/25/2013		
Santa Clara	2011-SCL-20	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	(Inventory due) 4/6/2012			
	2011-SCL-22	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	4/14/2012	4/12/2012		
	2011-SCL-28	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	4/18/2012			
	2011-SCL-29	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	4/2/2012			
	2011-SCL-30	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	(Inventory due) 4/29/2012			
	2012-SCL-33	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	4/20/2012	3/24/2012	5/2/2012	80
	2012-SCL-34	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	5/29/2012		5/2/2012	9
	2012-SCL-35	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	4/9/2012	4/9/2012	10/12/2012	21
	2012-SCL-37	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	4/9/2012	4/9/2012	10/12/2012	21
	2012-SCL-38	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	5/9/2012	5/9/2012		0
	2012-SCL-39	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	5/3/2012	5/3/2012		0
	2012-SCL-40	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	3/9/2012	3/9/2012		0
	2012-SCL-41	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	5/23/2012		5/2/2012	9
	2012-SCL-43	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	5/2/2012		5/2/2012	26
	2012-SCL-44	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	6/19/2012	6/19/2012	10/24/2012	32
	2012-SCL-45	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pennypacker	8/31/2012	8/31/2012	10/24/2012	61
	2012-SCL-46	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pichon	8/21/2012	8/21/2012	11/29/2012	6
	2012-SCL-47	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pichon	1/21/2013		12/31/2012	106
	2012-SCL-49	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pichon	12/31/2012		12/31/2012	106

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2012-SCL-50	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pichon	2/20/2013			
	2012-SCL-51	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pichon	3/9/2012			
	2012-SCL-52	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pichon	3/5/2013			
	2012-SCL-53	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pichon	3/5/2012			
	2012-SCL-54	Rosen, DA	Hon. Pichon	3/15/2013			
Stanislaus	2012-STA-21	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	5/29/2012		3/1/2012	5
	2012-STA-22	Fladager, DA	Hon. McFadden	4/10/2012		5/24/2012	106
	2012-STA-23	Fladager, DA	Hon. McFadden	4/10/2012		5/24/2012	106
	2012-STA-24	Fladager, DA	Hon. McFadden	4/10/2012		5/24/2012	106
	2012-STA-25	Fladager, DA	Hon. Distaso	4/10/2012		5/24/2012	106
	2012-STA-26	Fladager, DA	Hon. Salter	5/17/2012		5/14/2012	25
	2012-STA-27	Fladager, DA	Hon. Salter	5/17/2012		5/14/2012	25
	2012-STA-28	Fladager, DA	Hon. Cordova	7/12/2012		8/11/2012	270
	2012-STA-29	Fladager, DA	Hon. Cordova	7/17/2012		8/1/2012	220
	2012-STA-30	Fladager, DA	Hon. Cordovat	7/17/2012		8/1/2012	1
	2012-STA-31	Fladager, DA	Hon. Cordova	(Inventory due) 6/18/2012		8/1/2012	270
	2012-STA-32	Fladager, DA	Hon. Cordova	7/17/2012		8/1/2012	270
	2012-STA-33	Fladager, DA	Hon. Cordova	7/17/2012		8/1/2012	270
	2012-STA-34	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	8/1/2012	8/20/2012		16
	2012-STA-35	Fladager, DA	Hon. Cordova	7/17/2012		8/1/2012	270
	2012-STA-36	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	7/17/2012		8/1/2012	270
	2012-STA-37	Fladager, DA	Hon. Israels	7/17/2012		8/1/2012	270

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Stanislaus (cont'd)	2012-STA-38	Fladager, DA	Hon. Israels	7/17/2012		8/1/2012	270
	2012-STA-39	Fladager, DA	Hon. Stephan	7/17/2012		8/1/2012	270
	2012-STA-40	Fladager, DA	Hon. Steffin	7/17/2012		8/1/2012	270
	2012-STA-41	Fladager, DA	Hon. Cordova	7/17/2012		8/1/2012	270
	2012-STA-42	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ameral	7/11/2012		7/11/2012	0
	2012-STA-43	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	9/29/2012	8/1/2012		16
	2012-STA-44	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	9/1/2012		8/20/2012	16
	2012-STA-45	Fladager, DA	Hon. Ashley	9/7/2012	8/20/2012		0
Sutter	2012-SUT-2	McClung, ADA	Hon. Chandler	1/21/2013		1/9/2013	22
	2012-SUT-3	McClung, ADA	Hon. Chandler	1/21/2013		1/9/2013	22
	2012-SUT-4	McClung, ADA	Hon. Chandler	1/21/2013		1/9/2013	22
Ventura	2012-VE-42	Cavaliere, DEA	Hon. Murphy	9/19/2012			
	2012-VE-45	Christman, Deputy Sheriff	Hon. Cloninger	11/20/2012			
	2012-VE-46	Christman, Deputy Sheriff	Hon. Cloninger	11/20/2012			
	2012-VE-47	Frank, Senior Deputy Sheriff	Hon. Ayers	2/5/2013	11/5/2012		
	2012-VE-48	Cavaliere, DEA	Hon. Cloninger	12/26/2012			
	2012-VE-50	Bramlette, Sr. Deputy Sheriff	Hon. Young	2/5/2012	11/5/2012		
	2012-VE-51	Myers, Detective	Hon. Murphy	(Inventory due) 1/9/2013			
	2012-VE-52	Cavaliere, DEA	Hon. Cloninger	12/20/2012			
	2012-VE-53	Bramlette, Sr. Deputy Sheriff	Hon. Young	2/5/2013	11/5/2012		
	2012-VE-54	Myers, Detective	Hon. Murphy	(Inventory due) 1/15/2013			
	2012-VE-56	Myers, Detective	Hon. Murphy	(Inventory due) 1/15/2013			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Agency	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	2012-VE-57	Bramlette, Sr. Deputy Sheriff	Hon. Young	(Inventory due) 1/8/2013			
	2012-VE-58	Myers, Detective	Hon. Murphy	(Inventory due) 1/15/2013			
	2012-VE-59	Cavaliere, DEA	Hon. White	1/18/2012			

n/a - not applicable

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Alameda	2012-ALA-4	1 supervisor, 3 monitors, 1 technician	44,797	22,500	67,297
	2012-ALA-5	1 supervisor, 3 monitors, 1 technician	44,797	22,500	67,297
	2012-ALA-6	1 supervisor, 3 monitors, 1 technician	111,993	22,500	134,493
Alameda Total			201,587	67,500	269,087
Imperial	2012-IM-57	6 monitors	236,427	17,912	254,339
	2012-IM-58	6 monitors	357,259	1,753	359,012
	2012-IM-59	4 monitors	216,142	9,205	225,347
	2012-IM-60	2 monitors	61,754	2,630	64,384
	2012-IM-61	2 monitors	64,842	1,753	66,595
	2012-IM-62	3 monitors	173,943	7,408	181,351
	2012-IM-63	6 monitors	180,219	1,753	181,972
	2012-IM-64	1 monitor	2,000	0	2,000
	2012-IM-65	4 monitors	118,214	5,296	123,510
	2012-IM-66	2 monitors	59,107	2,648	61,755
	2012-IM-67	contract personnel, Metro	53,225	1,650	54,875
	2012-IM-68	installation and monitor costs	19,896	2,000	21,896
	2012-IM-70	installation and monitor costs	19,896	2,000	21,896
	2012-IM-72	installation and monitor costs	19,896	2,000	21,896
	2012-IM-73	contracted personnel, SOS	1,405	38,653	40,058
	2012-IM-74	contracted personnel, SOS	175,621	6,425	182,046
	2012-IM-77	contracted personnel, Metro	40,824	4,000	44,824
	2012-IM-78	contracted personnel, Metro	20,412	2,000	22,412
	2012-IM-79	contracted personnel, SOS	31,022	1,285	32,307
	2012-IM-80	contracted personnel, SOS	221,526	7,710	229,236
	2012-IM-81	contract personnel, SOS	75,025	2,636	77,661
	2012-IM-82	Cost not available			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Imperial (cont'd)	2012-IM-83	contract personnel, SOS	135,203	5,350	140,553
Imperial Total			2,283,858	126,067	2,409,925
Los Angeles	2012-LA-415	2 monitors per day	122,028	8,916	130,944
	2012-LA-416	2 monitors per day	20,338	1,486	21,824
	2012-LA-417	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-418	2 monitors per day	30,000	4,000	34,000
	2012-LA-419	3 monitors per day	26,000	3,000	29,000
	2012-LA-420	2 monitors per day	20,338	1,486	21,824
	2012-LA-422	2 monitors per day	20,338	1,486	21,824
	2012-LA-423	6 monitors	60,000	2,200	62,200
	2012-LA-424	2 monitors per day	1,100	18,600	19,700
	2012-LA-425	2 monitors per day	1,100	29,952	31,052
	2012-LA-426	4 monitors per day	4,065	2,972	7,037
	2012-LA-427	2 monitors per day	30,000	4,000	34,000
	2012-LA-428	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-LA-429	2 monitors per day - Federal	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-LA-430	2 monitors per day	40,000	5,000	45,000
	2012-LA-431	2 monitors per day	18,600	2,200	20,800
	2012-LA-432	2 monitors per day	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-LA-433	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-434	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-435	2 monitors, 1 agent, several LBPD	21,000	1,600	22,600
	2012-LA-436	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-437	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-438	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-439	2 monitors per day	51,181	1,585	52,766
	2012-LA-440	2 monitors per day	70,000	2,750	72,750

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-441	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-447	Cost not available			
	2012-LA-448	33 police personnel	200,000	5,000	205,000
	2012-LA-449	4 monitors per day	20,000	1,486	21,486
	2012-LA-450	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-451	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-452	2 monitors per day	180,000	19,800	199,800
	2012-LA-453	2 monitors per day	180,000	19,800	199,800
	2012-LA-454	1 installer, 2 monitors per day	20,838	1,400	22,238
	2012-LA-455	2 monitors per day/Federal \$ only	44,000	4,000	48,000
	2012-LA-456	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-457	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-458	2 monitors per day	20,000	1,486	21,486
	2012-LA-459	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-LA-460	4 monitors per day	42,000	2,200	44,200
	2012-LA-461	2 monitors per day	8,000	2,200	10,200
	2012-LA-462	2 monitors per day	20,000	1,486	21,486
	2012-LA-463	2 monitors per day, techs, Sprint/Nextel	20,947	1,200	22,147
	2012-LA-464	2 monitors per day	40,680	1,400	42,080
	2012-LA-465	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-LA-466	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-LA-467	1 installer, 4 monitors	40,676	2,800	43,476
	2012-LA-468	Cost not available			
	2012-LA-469	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-LA-470	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-LA-471	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-472	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-LA-473	2 monitors per day	20,400	1,848	22,248
	2012-LA-474	2 monitors per day	20,600	1,100	21,700
	2012-LA-475	2 monitors per day	8,474	1,300	9,774
	2012-LA-476	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-477	1 installer, 4 monitors	40,676	2,800	43,476
	2012-LA-478	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-LA-479	12 monitors	8,000	19,000	27,000
	2012-LA-480	12 monitors	180,000	19,800	199,800
	2012-LA-481	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-LA-482	19 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-483	2 monitors per day	10,847	1,350	12,197
	2012-LA-484	2 monitors per day - Federal \$ only	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-LA-485	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-486	2 monitors per day	6,000	1,300	7,300
	2012-LA-487	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-488	19 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-489	2 monitors per day	20,340	700	21,040
	2012-LA-490	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-491	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-492	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-493	3 monitors per day	30,875	2,200	33,075
	2012-LA-494	2 monitors per day	6,100	1,300	7,400
	2012-LA-495	12 monitors	38,000	14,500	52,500
	2012-LA-496	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-497	2 monitors per day	60,000	19,800	79,800
	2012-LA-498	2 monitors per day	20,600	1,100	21,700

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-499	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-500	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-501	2 monitors per day	8,842	1,286	10,128
	2012-LA-502	2 monitors per day	20,340	700	21,040
	2012-LA-503	12 monitors	240,000	19,800	259,800
	2012-LA-504	12 monitors	120,000	19,800	139,800
	2012-LA-505	12 monitors	120,000	19,800	139,800
	2012-LA-506	Costs related to 12-108			
	2012-LA-507	Costs related to 12-108			
	2012-LA-508	3 monitors per day	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-LA-509	Costs related to 12-108			
	2012-LA-510	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-511	Costs related to 12-108			
	2012-LA-512	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-513	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-514	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-515	2 monitors per day - Federal	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-LA-516	1 monitor per day	22,000	1,600	23,600
	2012-LA-517	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-519	Costs related to 12-108			
	2012-LA-520	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-521	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-522	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-523	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-524	2 monitors per day - Federal	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-LA-525	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-526	6 monitors per day - Federal	66,000	12,000	78,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-527	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-528	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-529	2 monitors per day	20,337	1,286	21,623
	2012-LA-530	12 monitors per day, 2 techs, 4 detectives	995,500	40,000	1,035,500
	2012-LA-531	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-LA-532	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-533	Cost not available			
	2012-LA-534	2 monitors per day	20,340	700	21,040
	2012-LA-535	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-536	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-538	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-539	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-540	6 monitors per day - Federal	66,000	12,000	78,000
	2012-LA-541	2 monitors per 16-hour day	13,965	1,200	15,165
	2012-LA-542	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-543	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-544	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-LA-545	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-546	2 monitors per day - Federal \$ only	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-LA-547	10 deputy sheriffs	50,000	10,000	60,000
	2012-LA-548	2 monitors per day	1,100	18,600	19,700
	2012-LA-549	1 installer, 4 monitors	40,670	1,860	42,530
	2012-LA-550	4 monitors per day - Federal \$ only	44,000	8,000	52,000
	2012-LA-551	1 installer, 4 monitors	40,670	1,860	42,530

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-552	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-553	2 monitors per day	61,020	2,100	63,120
	2012-LA-554	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-LA-555	LAPD personnel	38,628	5,765	44,393
	2012-LA-556	on duty personnel used only	0	6,042	6,042
	2012-LA-557	4 monitors per day	35,000	5,000	40,000
	2012-LA-558	on duty personnel use only	0	6,042	6,042
	2012-LA-559	2 monitors per day - Federal \$ only	44,000	8,000	52,000
	2012-LA-560	2 monitors per day	81,360	3,200	84,560
	2012-LA-561	2 monitors per day	26,000	2,500	28,500
	2012-LA-562	2 monitors per day	27,930	1,286	29,216
	2012-LA-563	7 monitors per day	35,000	5,000	40,000
	2012-LA-564	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-LA-565	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-LA-566	2 monitors per day - Federal \$ only	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-568	2 monitors per day	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2012-LA-569	2 monitors per day	42,000	4,000	46,000
	2012-LA-570	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-LA-571	1 Installer, 4 monitors	40,670	1,860	42,530
	2012-LA-572	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-573	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-574	2 monitors per day	42,000	4,000	46,000
	2012-LA-575	2 monitors per day	20,000	1,486	21,486
	2012-LA-576	2 monitors per day	26,000	2,500	28,500
	2012-LA-577	2 monitors per day - Federal \$ only	44,000	8,000	52,000
	2012-LA-578	2 monitors per day	27,930	1,286	29,216

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2012-LA-579	2 monitors per day	61,020	1,600	62,620
	2012-LA-580	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-LA-581	2 monitors per day - Federal \$ only	44,000	8,000	52,000
	2012-LA-582	6 monitors per day - Federal \$ only	66,000	12,000	78,000
	2012-LA-583	2 monitors per day	40,000	2,000	42,000
	2012-LA-584	2 monitors per day	21,000	1,100	22,100
	2012-LA-585	18	120,000	7,500	127,500
	2012-LA-586	2 monitors per day	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-LA-587	12 monitors	180,000	19,800	199,800
	2012-LA-588	2 monitors per day	37,800	6,000	43,800
	2012-LA-589	5 monitors	20,946	1,284	22,230
	2012-LA-590	1 installer, 4 monitors	40,670	1,860	42,530
	2012-LA-591	10 deputy sheriffs	100,000	20,000	120,000
	2012-LA-592	2 monitors per day	180,000	19,800	199,800
Los Angeles Total			6,866,879	781,092	7,647,971
Merced	2012-MER-19	Costs related to 2012-MER-20			
	2012-MER-20	6 interpreters, 6 agents.	10,000	23,500	33,500
	2012-MER-21	Costs related to 2012-MER-20			
	2012-MER-22	Costs related to 2012-MER-20			
	2012-MER-23	Costs related to 2012-MER-20			
	2012-MER-24	2 agents per day for first 2 days; 4 agents per day for last 7 days	13,000	2,300	15,300
Merced Total			23,000	25,800	48,800
Orange	2012-OR-68	28 sworn detectives who also acted as monitors on a 24 hour basis	371,700	13,782	385,482
	2012-OR-69	4 sworn detectives	41,300	1,532	42,832
	2012-OR-70	1 installer, 6 monitors	25,000	1,800	26,800
	2012-OR-72	Costs related to 12-07			
	2012-OR-73	Costs related to 12-07			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Orange (cont'd)	2012-OR-74	21 sworn police detectives as monitors	106,500	15,000	121,500
	2012-OR-75	3 monitors	20,000	1,500	21,500
	2012-OR-76	3 monitors	20,000	1,500	21,500
	2012-OR-77	Spanish language monitors	20,800	1,400	22,200
	2012-OR-78	Spanish language monitors	41,600	2,800	44,400
	2012-OR-79	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-OR-80	Spanish monitors	41,600	2,800	44,400
	2012-OR-81	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
Orange Total			724,500	46,114	770,614
Riverside	2011-RIV-89	14 Persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	109,278	3,200	112,478
	2011-RIV-152	2 monitors per day Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2011-RIV-168	2 personnel used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	49,997	1,800	51,797
	2011-RIV-175	2 monitors per day - private	53,505	2,600	56,105
	2011-RIV-189	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation/private company	45,000	5,000	50,000
	2011-RIV-190	6 persons used re installation, monitoring, and transcript preparation/private company.	95,000	5,000	100,000
	2011-RIV-214	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	154,832	7,855	162,687
	2011-RIV-215	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	259,883	6,705	266,588

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2011-RIV-222	2 monitors	18,600	1,100	19,700
	2011-RIV-225	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2011-RIV-226	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-227	2 persons used re installation, monitoring, and/or transcript preparation	11,878	1,800	13,678
	2012-RIV-237	8 detectives, 2 monitors, 1 civilian	72,750	1,246	73,996
	2012-RIV-238	8 detectives, 6 monitors, 1 civilian	71,960	2,858	74,818
	2012-RIV-239	2 monitors	18,600	2,200	20,800
	2012-RIV-240	10 personnel used to install, monitor, and/or transcript preparation	100,000	7,430	107,430
	2012-RIV-242	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-RIV-243	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-244	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-245	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-246	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-247	2 monitors per day - Federal	66,840	1,246	68,086
	2012-RIV-255	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	26,983	2,600	29,583
	2012-RIV-256	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	28,120	2,600	30,720
	2012-RIV-260	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-261	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	2,500	1,800	4,300

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-263	3 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	47,749	2,600	50,349
	2012-RIV-264	667 manpower hours	20,437	30,151	50,588
	2012-RIV-265	453 manpower hours	13,880	15,236	29,116
	2012-RIV-266	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors	20,337	2,600	22,937
	2012-RIV-267	14 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	120,000	8,916	128,916
	2012-RIV-268	14 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	67,171	3,200	70,371
	2012-RIV-269	437 personnel used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	13,389	21,337	34,726
	2012-RIV-270	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-RIV-271	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-272	2 monitors per day - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-273	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-RIV-274	2monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-RIV-275	4 officers, technicians used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2012-RIV-276	2 monitors per day - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-RIV-277	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,338	2,600	22,938
	2012-RIV-278	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and/or transcript preparation.	20,947	1,800	22,747

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-279	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and/or transcript preparation.	20,947	1,800	22,747
	2012-RIV-280	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and/or transcript preparation.	20,947	1,800	22,747
	2012-RIV-281	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation on this wire plus extensions.	78,451	7,000	85,451
	2012-RIV-282	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and/or transcript preparation	31,743	2,000	33,743
	2012-RIV-283	356 manpower hours	10,923	21,947	32,870
	2012-RIV-284	2 monitors per day for 60 days	40,675	3,000	43,675
	2012-RIV-285	2 monitors per day for 30 days	20,338	1,500	21,838
	2012-RIV-286	2 Metropolitan monitors	113,794	2,600	116,394
	2012-RIV-287	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation.	25,435	2,000	27,435
	2012-RIV-288	11 LEOs, 2 monitors 30/days	20,338	1,500	21,838
	2012-RIV-289	11 LEOs, 2 monitors 30/days	20,338	1,500	21,838
	2012-RIV-290	1 tech, 4 monitors, 1 officer	13,558	2,000	15,558
	2012-RIV-291	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	22,000	0	22,000
	2012-RIV-292	4 monitors, 1 technician	23,727	4,000	27,727
	2012-RIV-293	3 DEA S/A's, 7 TFOs; 2 monitors	117,524	4,400	121,924
	2012-RIV-294	2 monitors, 10 DEA Agents	113,019	2,600	115,619
	2012-RIV-295	356.5 manpower - hours	10,923	21,947	32,870

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-296	2 monitors	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2012-RIV-297	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation - Federal	127,110	2,600	129,710
	2012-RIV-298	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-299	2 monitors, 3 DEA personnel	32,947	1,800	34,747
	2012-RIV-300	1 technician, 4 monitors, 1 LE officer	13,558	2,000	15,558
	2012-RIV-301	10 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	69,040	5,200	74,240
	2012-RIV-302	6 deputy sheriffs, 1 technician	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2012-RIV-303	3 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	22,000	2,000	24,000
	2012-RIV-304	2 monitors	20,400	1,486	21,886
	2012-RIV-305	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-306	3 DEA S/A's, 7 TFOs, 2 monitors	21,168	2,000	23,168
	2012-RIV-307	8 monitors per day	40,000	4,458	44,458
	2012-RIV-308	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation -Federal	77,384	2,600	79,984
	2012-RIV-309	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	2,336	1,700	4,036
	2012-RIV-310	2 monitors per day (Federal)	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-RIV-311	2 LE; 2 monitors per day	13,776	2,320	16,096
	2012-RIV-312	2 monitors	20,600	1,100	21,700
	2012-RIV-313	3 persons used re monitoring	20,000	2,200	22,200
	2012-RIV-314	2 LE, 2 monitors per day	13,776	1,520	15,296
	2012-RIV-315	2 monitors per day	20,947	1,100	22,047

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-316	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	25,435	2,000	27,435
	2012-RIV-317	3 DEA S/As, 7 TFOs 2 monitors	36,179	4,600	40,779
	2012-RIV-318	2 monitors per day	20,947	1,100	22,047
	2012-RIV-319	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	160,000	11,200	171,200
	2012-RIV-320	1 technician, 4 monitors, 1 LE officer	6,779	2,000	8,779
	2012-RIV-321	2 monitors, 1 technician	8,813	4,000	12,813
	2012-RIV-322	2 monitors per day for 30 days	20,338	1,500	21,838
	2012-RIV-323	2 monitors - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-RIV-324	2 monitors per day	62,930	5,400	68,330
	2012-RIV-325	2 monitors - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-RIV-326	2 monitors per day	90,388	4,200	94,588
	2012-RIV-327	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	140,000	4,800	144,800
	2012-RIV-328	2 monitors, 1 DEA personnel	33,589	2,600	36,189
	2012-RIV-329	2 monitors	22,550	1,800	24,350
	2012-RIV-330	2 officers, 6 monitors, 1 tech	71,106	3,000	74,106
	2012-RIV-331	2 monitors - Federal	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-RIV-332	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	13,776	1,600	15,376
	2012-RIV-333	12 detectives, 1 civilian	11,680	810	12,490
	2012-RIV-334	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	29,510	800	30,310
	2012-RIV-335	7 investigators, 2 monitors, 1 civilian	65,800	1,846	67,646

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-336	4 daily use of personnel re installation, monitoring, and transcript preparation	13,776	1,600	15,376
	2012-RIV-337	14 personnel used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation for this wire	35,164	2,600	37,764
	2012-RIV-338	14 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation.	34,705	2,600	37,305
	2012-RIV-339	14 personnel used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	39,147	5,200	44,347
	2012-RIV-340	10 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation.	134,855	4,400	139,255
	2012-RIV-341	2 monitors per day	15,361	1,500	16,861
	2012-RIV-342	2 persons use re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,000	1,100	21,100
	2012-RIV-343	6 monitors, 1 civilian	36,308	3,000	39,308
	2012-RIV-344	6 monitors, 1 technician	6,982	1,500	8,482
	2012-RIV-345	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-347	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,000	4,458	24,458
	2012-RIV-348	2 monitors per day per line	80,000	10,000	90,000
	2012-RIV-349	2 monitors per day per line	40,000	4,000	44,000
	2012-RIV-351	2 personnel used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	18,240	1,500	19,740
	2012-RIV-352	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-353	2 monitors - Federal	54,000	6,000	60,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-354	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	120,000	3,300	123,300
	2012-RIV-355	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-356	2 monitors - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-RIV-357	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-359	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	60,000	5,000	65,000
	2012-RIV-360	6 monitors, 1 technician	30,723	4,500	35,223
	2012-RIV-361	8 Metropolitan monitors	84,740	2,600	87,340
	2012-RIV-362	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-363	6 monitors, 1 civilian tech	41,894	3,000	44,894
	2012-RIV-364	2 monitors per day per line	40,000	4,000	44,000
	2012-RIV-365	2 monitors, 1 DEA personnel	100,767	7,800	108,567
	2012-RIV-366	2 persons used re installation, monitoring, transcript preparation	20,000	1,500	21,500
	2012-RIV-367	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	160,000	4,400	164,400
	2012-RIV-368	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-369	1 monitor per shift	1,110	18,600	19,710
	2012-RIV-370	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-371	2 Metropolitan monitors	33,589	2,600	36,189
	2012-RIV-372	4 persons per day.	27,552	2,320	29,872
	2012-RIV-373	4 monitors, 1 technician	16,060	3,000	19,060
	2012-RIV-374	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-375	2 monitors	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2012-RIV-376	10 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	44,272	3,200	47,472
	2012-RIV-377	2 monitors per day	20,000	1,100	21,100

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-378	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-379	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,000	4,458	24,458
	2012-RIV-380	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-381	2 monitors per day per line	80,000	4,000	84,000
	2012-RIV-382	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-383	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	88,530	3,200	91,730
	2012-RIV-384	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-385	4 monitors, 1 DEA personnel	33,589	2,600	36,189
	2012-RIV-386	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	160,000	11,200	171,200
	2012-RIV-387	(5) Persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,947	1,100	22,047
	2012-RIV-388	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-389	6 monitors, 1 technician	32,817	2,500	35,317
	2012-RIV-390	2 monitors	22,550	2,200	24,750
	2012-RIV-391	6 monitors, 1 civilian	41,894	3,000	44,894
	2012-RIV-392	2 monitors, 1 installer	41,894	1,800	43,694
	2012-RIV-394	2 persons per day	13,776	2,320	16,096
	2012-RIV-395	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	35,000	430	35,430
	2012-RIV-396	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	29,510	2,325	31,835

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-397	11 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation.	30,139	2,600	32,739
	2012-RIV-398	2 monitors per day	20,000	1,100	21,100
	2012-RIV-399	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,000	4,458	24,458
	2012-RIV-400	1 person used re monitoring	20,000	6,000	26,000
	2012-RIV-401	7 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	41,894	2,200	44,094
	2012-RIV-403	2 monitors Federal	6,000	54,000	60,000
	2012-RIV-404	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-405	5 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,947	1,700	22,647
	2012-RIV-406	1 monitor per shift	2,200	37,000	39,200
	2012-RIV-407	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 tech	41,280	3,200	44,480
	2012-RIV-408	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	160,000	6,600	166,600
	2012-RIV-409	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-410	9 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	62,841	2,900	65,741
	2012-RIV-411	2 monitors per day federal	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-RIV-412	11 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation.	2,518	2,600	5,118
	2012-RIV-413	11 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	30,139	2,600	32,739

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-414	6 monitors, 1 civilian	41,894	3,000	44,894
	2012-RIV-415	6 monitors, 1 technician	44,000	3,000	47,000
	2012-RIV-417	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-418	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	41,280	3,200	44,480
	2012-RIV-419	3 SA's, 7 TFOs, 2 monitors	69,390	4,600	73,990
	2012-RIV-420	2 monitors	19,000	3,000	22,000
	2012-RIV-421	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-RIV-422	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-423	2 monitors, 1 installer	20,947	1,800	22,747
	2012-RIV-424	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-425	6 monitors	84,740	2,600	87,340
	2012-RIV-426	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	120,000	3,300	123,300
	2012-RIV-427	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	100,000	64,000	164,000
	2012-RIV-428	6 monitors, 1 civilian	20,947	1,500	22,447
	2012-RIV-429	2 monitors per day.	20,400	3,000	23,400
	2012-RIV-430	6 monitors, 1 technician	38,300	3,000	41,300
	2012-RIV-431	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation.	40,000	3,400	43,400
	2012-RIV-432	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,000	4,458	24,458
	2012-RIV-433	4 monitors, 1 DEA Personnel	67,178	5,200	72,378
	2012-RIV-434	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	20,640	1,600	22,240
	2012-RIV-435	6 monitors, 1 technician	38,403	1,500	39,903
	2012-RIV-437	2 monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-438	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-439	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-440	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-441	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-442	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-443	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	160,000	4,800	164,800
	2012-RIV-444	2 monitors, 2 TFOs, 3 DEA personnel	20,947	1,800	22,747
	2012-RIV-445	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-446	6 monitors, 1 technician	14,000	1,500	15,500
	2012-RIV-447	3 DEA SA's, 7 TFOs, 2 monitors	58,510	4,600	63,110
	2012-RIV-448	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	22,400	1,486	23,886
	2012-RIV-449	11 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,000	430	20,430
	2012-RIV-450	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	80,000	2,200	82,200
	2012-RIV-451	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-452	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-453	1 - install, 2 monitors, 1 transcripts	21,000	868	21,868
	2012-RIV-454	3 deputies, 2 technicians	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2012-RIV-455	10 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	100,000	64,000	164,000
	2012-RIV-456	5 DEA personnel, 2 TFOs, 2 monitors	20,979	2,600	23,579

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-457	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	10,304	1,400	11,704
	2012-RIV-458	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-RIV-459	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-460	6 monitors, 1 technician	20,947	1,500	22,447
	2012-RIV-461	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-462	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-463	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,000	4,458	24,458
	2012-RIV-464	2 monitors per day	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-RIV-465	4 monitors, 1 technician	15,361	1,500	16,861
	2012-RIV-466	6 monitors, 1 DEA personnel	33,589	2,600	36,189
	2012-RIV-467	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-468	2 monitors, 2 task force officers	83,788	4,400	88,188
	2012-RIV-469	7 deputies, 8 monitors, 2 technicians	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2012-RIV-470	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-471	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-472	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-RIV-473	1 investigator, 1 technician, 6 monitors	20,947	1,500	22,447
	2012-RIV-474	3 DEA personnel, 8 TFOs, 2 monitors	21,947	1,800	23,747
	2012-RIV-475	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-476	1 investigator, 1 technician, 6 monitors	20,947	1,500	22,447
	2012-RIV-477	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation; Metropolitan Languages service re translation	107,164	4,160	111,324

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-478	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	140,000	4,400	144,400
	2012-RIV-479	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	22,400	1,486	23,886
	2012-RIV-480	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	19,840	21,000	40,840
	2012-RIV-481	3 monitors	37,000	2,200	39,200
	2012-RIV-482	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-RIV-483	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-484	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-485	1 person used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	18,166	1,800	19,966
	2012-RIV-486	8 deputies, 2 technicians	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2012-RIV-487	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-488	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-490	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-492	1 sworn investigator, 6 monitors, 1 technician	34,000	3,000	37,000
	2012-RIV-493	2 monitors per day / Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-RIV-494	1 investigator; 6 monitors, 1 technician	20,947	1,500	22,447
	2012-RIV-495	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-496	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-497	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-RIV-498	4 DEA personnel, 4 TFOs, 2 monitors	37,753	2,600	40,353
	2012-RIV-499	6 persons used re interception	63,000	0	63,000
	2012-RIV-500	2 persons used	22,000	0	22,000
	2012-RIV-501	2 monitors - Federal	36,000	4,000	40,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-502	3 DEA SA, 7 TFOs, 2 monitors	28,607	1,200	29,807
	2012-RIV-503	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-504	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	15,360	21,000	36,360
	2012-RIV-506	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-507	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-508	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-509	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-512	4 monitors, 1 technician	8,379	3,000	11,379
	2012-RIV-514	8 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	88,000	0	88,000
	2012-RIV-515	2 monitors per day - Federal	22,000	4,000	26,000
	2012-RIV-516	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-517	4 persons used re installation, monitoring, and transcript preparation	15,360	21,000	36,360
	2012-RIV-518	2 monitors	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2012-RIV-520	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-521	1 investigator, 6 monitors, 1 technician	44,000	3,000	47,000
	2012-RIV-522	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-523	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-525	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	44,497	2,600	47,097
	2012-RIV-526	12 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	132,000	0	132,000
	2012-RIV-527	1 sworn investigator, 6 monitors, 1 technician	20,947	1,500	22,447

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-530	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	40,000	3,000	43,000
	2012-RIV-531	2 monitors per day	21,000	2,000	23,000
	2012-RIV-532	3 monitors	37,000	2,700	39,700
	2012-RIV-534	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-536	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	36,000	1,200	37,200
	2012-RIV-537	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-538	6 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	66,000	0	66,000
	2012-RIV-540	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-541	1 sworn investigator, 6 monitors, 1 technician	20,947	1,500	22,447
	2012-RIV-543	2 monitors per day	22,000	2,000	24,000
	2012-RIV-544	3 DEA, 7 DEA TFOs, 2 monitors	28,607	1,200	29,807
	2012-RIV-546	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-547	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	22,400	1,486	23,886
	2012-RIV-548	4 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	44,000	0	44,000
	2012-RIV-549	Cost not available			
	2012-RIV-550	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-554	1 investigator, 6 monitors, 1 technician	20,947	1,500	22,447
	2012-RIV-555	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	20,640	3,200	23,840
	2012-RIV-556	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	20,640	3,200	23,840

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Riverside (cont'd)	2012-RIV-557	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,000	1,486	21,486
	2012-RIV-558	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,000	1,486	21,486
	2012-RIV-559	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,000	1,486	21,486
	2012-RIV-560	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	20,000	1,486	21,486
	2012-RIV-561	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	14,000	1,486	15,486
	2012-RIV-562	2 monitors - Federal	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-RIV-563	2 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	14,000	1,486	15,486
	2012-RIV-573	3 persons used re installation, monitoring and transcript preparation	1,800	1,800	3,600
	2012-RIV-583	6 DEA, 4 TFOs, 2 monitors	37,592	2,600	40,192
Riverside Total			10,379,504	1,112,231	11,491,735
Sacramento	2012-SAC-41	14 sworn and non-sworn personnel	185,000	12,500	197,500
	2012-SAC-42	Costs related to WT-12-01			
	2012-SAC-43	Costs related to WT-12-01			
	2012-SAC-44	3 monitors	38,080	19,440	57,520
Sacramento Total			223,080	31,940	255,020
San Bernardino	2011-SBD-416	1 officer, 4 civilian monitors, 1 tech	39,360	4,000	43,360
	2012-SBD-417	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-418	2 officers	13,776	2,980	16,756
	2012-SBD-420	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	13,760	1,600	15,360
	2012-SBD-422	2 federal monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-SBD-423	1 officer, 6 monitors, 1 technician	80,640	6,400	87,040
	2012-SBD-424	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	6,560	1,246	7,806
	2012-SBD-426	1 officer, 4 monitors, 1 technician	50,972	4,800	55,772
	2012-SBD-428	1 officer, 3 monitors, 1 technician	20,160	4,800	24,960
	2012-SBD-430	2 federal monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-SBD-431	10 officers, 4 monitors and 1 technician	64,636	2,000	66,636
	2012-SBD-432	1 officer, 4 monitors, 1 technician	13,330	3,200	16,530
	2012-SBD-433	1 officer, 8 monitors, 1 technician	177,120	8,214	185,334
	2012-SBD-434	10 officers, 3 monitors, 1 technician	66,627	6,600	73,227
	2012-SBD-435	1 officer, 4 monitors, 1 technician	118,080	7,830	125,910
	2012-SBD-436	8 officers, 1 technician	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2012-SBD-437	1 officer	3,444	680	4,124
	2012-SBD-438	1 officer, 1 civilian monitor	11,765	4,800	16,565
	2012-SBD-439	1 officer, 8 civilian monitors, 1 technician	82,560	6,400	88,960
	2012-SBD-440	1 officer, 6 monitors, 1 technician	164,000	7,614	171,614
	2012-SBD-441	10 officers, 4 monitors, 1 technician	28,859	4,000	32,859
	2012-SBD-442	8 officers, 1 technician	75,600	10,000	85,600
	2012-SBD-443	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	91,840	8,000	99,840
	2012-SBD-444	1 officer, 1 civilian monitor	11,765	4,800	16,565
	2012-SBD-445	2 Federal monitors	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2012-SBD-446	1 officer, 2 monitors	30,000	2,600	32,600

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-447	1 officer, 4 civilian monitors, 1 technician	13,120	2,492	15,612
	2012-SBD-448	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	41,280	3,200	44,480
	2012-SBD-449	10 officers, 6 monitors and 1 technician	82,813	2,800	85,613
	2012-SBD-450	8 officers, 1 technician	18,900	2,500	21,400
	2012-SBD-451	2 monitors, 1 technician	20,000	1,500	21,500
	2012-SBD-452	2 federal monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-SBD-453	10 officers, 4 monitors, 1 technician	55,754	2,800	58,554
	2012-SBD-454	2 monitors	22,000	0	22,000
	2012-SBD-455	1 officer, 6 monitors, 1 technician	78,720	6,400	85,120
	2012-SBD-456	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	54,880	6,400	61,280
	2012-SBD-457	3 officers, 13 monitors and 3 technicians	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-SBD-458	10 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	42,306	1,800	44,106
	2012-SBD-460	10 officers, 2 monitors and 1 technician	27,013	1,800	28,813
	2012-SBD-461	1 officer, 4 monitors, 1 technician	40,320	3,200	43,520
	2012-SBD-462	10 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	67,187	2,000	69,187
	2012-SBD-463	2 federal monitors	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2012-SBD-464	2 officers, 1 technician	18,500	1,600	20,100
	2012-SBD-465	10 officers, 2 monitors and 1 technician	15,201	1,800	17,001
	2012-SBD-466	3 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	24,067	2,600	26,667
	2012-SBD-467	10 officers, 8 monitors, 1 technician	49,959	2,000	51,959
	2012-SBD-468	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	20,640	3,200	23,840
	2012-SBD-469	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	20,640	1,600	22,240
	2012-SBD-470	8 officers, 1 technician	75,600	10,000	85,600
	2012-SBD-471	1 officer, 1 monitor	117,675	4,800	122,475

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2012-SBD-472	2 Federal monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-SBD-473	10 officers, 4 monitors, 1 technician	26,596	3,200	29,796
	2012-SBD-474	8 officers, 1 technician	132,300	17,500	149,800
	2012-SBD-475	1 officer, 8 monitors, 1 technician	190,240	8,860	199,100
	2012-SBD-476	2 Federal monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-SBD-477	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 tech	61,400	4,800	66,200
	2012-SBD-478	1 officer, 8 monitors, 1 technician	170,560	8,198	178,758
	2012-SBD-479	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	34,400	3,200	37,600
	2012-SBD-480	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	13,760	1,600	15,360
	2012-SBD-481	10 officers, 2 monitors, 1 technician	28,304	1,800	30,104
	2012-SBD-482	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	20,640	1,600	22,240
	2012-SBD-483	Cost not available			
	2012-SBD-484	10 officers, 6 monitors, 1 technician	58,785	2,800	61,585
	2012-SBD-485	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	20,640	1,600	22,240
	2012-SBD-486	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	20,640	1,600	22,240
	2012-SBD-487	1 officer, 1 technician	18,500	1,600	20,100
	2012-SBD-488	2 officers, 1 technician	18,500	1,600	20,100
	2012-SBD-489	10 officers, 4 monitors, 1 technician	34,738	2,000	36,738
	2012-SBD-490	1 officer, 4 civilian monitors, 1 technician	41,240	3,200	44,440
	2012-SBD-491	2 Federal monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-SBD-492	2 Federal monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2012-SBD-493	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	20,160	1,600	21,760
	2012-SBD-494	Cost not available			
San Bernardino Total			3,325,732	272,314	3,598,046
San Diego	2011-SD-106	Costs related to Wire 12-31 (EICOS 2012-SD-159)			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Diego (cont'd)	2011-SD-107	Costs related to Wire 12-31 (EICOS 2012-SD-159)			
	2012-SD-125	5 monitors	40,000	0	40,000
	2012-SD-129	Costs related to Wire 12-12 (EICOS 2012-SD-134)			
	2012-SD-130	Costs related to Wire 12-12 (EICOS 2012-SD-134)			
	2012-SD-131	Costs related to Wire 12-12 (EICOS 2012-SD-134)			
	2012-SD-132	Costs related to Wire 12-12 (EICOS 2012-SD-134)			
	2012-SD-133	Costs related to Wire 12-12 (EICOS 2012-SD-134)			
	2012-SD-134	1 monitor per line per shift	186,358	19,600	205,958
	2012-SD-135	Costs related to Wire 12-22 (EICOS 2012-SD-137)			
	2012-SD-136	Costs related to Wire 12-22 (EICOS 2012-SD-137)			
	2012-SD-137	12 monitors used	94,070	14,715	108,785
	2012-SD-138	10 monitors	99,938	22,795	122,733
	2012-SD-139	Costs related to 12-07 (EICOS 2012-SD-138)			
	2012-SD-140	Costs related to 12-07 (EICOS 2012-SD-138)			
	2012-SD-141	Costs related to 12-07 (EICOS 2012-SD-138)			
	2012-SD-142	5 peace officers and monitors	11,000	1,500	12,500
	2012-SD-143	1 monitor/2 shifts - shared	11,063	3,126	14,189
	2012-SD-144	2 monitors	10,304	1,800	12,104
	2012-SD-145	1 monitor per shift per phone	72,000	7,500	79,500
	2012-SD-146	1 monitor per shift per phone	36,000	7,500	43,500
	2012-SD-148	15 monitors, 4 prepare transcripts, 2 support staff	75,000	7,500	82,500
	2012-SD-149	15 monitors, 6 surveillance officers, 6 to prepare transcripts	36,000	7,500	43,500
	2012-SD-150	Costs related to Wire 12-31 (EICOS 2012-SD-159)			
	2012-SD-151	Costs related to Wire 12-31 (EICOS 2012-SD-159)			
	2012-SD-152	Costs related to Wire 12-31 (EICOS 2012-SD-159)			
	2012-SD-153	Costs related to Wire 12-31 (EICOS 2012-SD-159)			
	2012-SD-154	Costs related to Wire 12-31 (EICOS 2012-SD-159)			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
San Diego (cont'd)	2012-SD-155	Costs related to Wire 12-31 (EICOS 2012-SD-159)			
	2012-SD-156	Costs related to 12-30 (EICOS 2012-SD-158)			
	2012-SD-157	Costs related to 12-30 (EICOS 2012-SD-158)			
	2012-SD-158	1 person shifts, twice a day	6,688	9,378	16,066
	2012-SD-159	1 linguist per shift per phone	747,600	70,000	817,600
	2012-SD-160	costs include only installation	0	1,660	1,660
	2012-SD-161	costs only include installation	0	620	620
San Diego Total			1,426,021	175,194	1,601,215
San Luis Obispo	2012-SLO-3	N/A	10,500	1,765	12,265
	2012-SLO-4	N/A	5,200	885	6,085
San Luis Obispo Total			15,700	2,650	18,350
San Mateo	2012-SM-8	3 monitors, 4 agents, 1 technician, 1 analyst	2,377	52,563	54,940
San Mateo Total			2,377	52,563	54,940
Santa Barbara	2012-SBA-32	1 install, 6 monitors/transcripts	12,569	960	13,529
	2012-SBA-34	Costs related to - Costs not able to be reported as BNE has been disbanded and we have been unable to locate budget information			
	2012-SBA-35	1 to install, 6 to monitor	20,947	700	21,647
	2011-SBA-38	2 install, 9 surveillance team, 11 monitor/transcription	268,131	4,270	272,401
	2012-SBA-39	2 install, 9 surveillance team, 11 monitor/transcription	218,131	7,710	225,841
Santa Barbara Total			519,778	13,640	533,418
Santa Clara	2011-SCL-20	4 monitors	174,628	5,260	179,888
	2011-SCL-22	4 Monitors	17,398	2,800	20,198
	2011-SCL-28	monitors, agents, technicians	38,077	5,600	43,677
	2011-SCL-29	Cost not available			
	2011-SCL-30	4 monitors	174,628	5,260	179,888
	2012-SCL-33	monitors and interpreters.	17,398	2,800	20,198

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2012-SCL-34	monitors and interpreters	17,005	4,400	21,405
	2012-SCL-35	Costs related to - See WT 12-05			
	2012-SCL-37	4 monitors, 1 technician, 2 agents	351,626	38,484	390,110
	2012-SCL-38	monitors and technicians	51,254	5,600	56,854
	2012-SCL-39	monitor and technician	17,163	625	17,788
	2012-SCL-40	Costs related to - No cost since the telephone went inactive			
	2012-SCL-41	monitors and interpreters	16,928	2,800	19,728
	2012-SCL-43	monitors and interpreters for WT 11-11, 11-13, 11-16, 11-17, 11-20, 12-04	375,740	12,840	388,580
	2012-SCL-44	monitors and interpreters	50,784	4,050	54,834
	2012-SCL-45	monitors and interpreters	51,489	6,225	57,714
	2012-SCL-46		0	1,200	1,200
	2012-SCL-47	monitors and interpreters	51,489	4,035	55,524
	2012-SCL-49	monitors and interpreters	34,326	2,630	36,956
	2012-SCL-50	monitors and interpreters	37,574	1,284	38,858
	2012-SCL-51	monitors and technicians	40,230	1,284	41,514
	2012-SCL-52	monitors and interpreters	21,521	1,875	23,396
	2012-SCL-53	monitors and interpreters	20,115	2,950	23,065
	2012-SCL-54	monitors and interpreters	20,115	1,600	21,715
Santa Clara Total			1,579,488	113,602	1,693,090
Stanislaus	2012-STA-21	1 installer 4 monitors	5,212	1,500	6,712
	2012-STA-22	4 monitors	20,000	2,800	22,800
	2012-STA-23	Costs related to 12-01			
	2012-STA-24	Costs related to 12-01			
	2012-STA-25	Costs related to 12-01			
	2012-STA-26	Costs related to 12-05			
	2012-STA-27	3 monitors	10,500	1,600	12,100
	2012-STA-28	8 monitors, 4 typists	150,000	20,000	170,000
	2012-STA-29	Costs related to 12-07A			
	2012-STA-30	Costs related to 12-07A			
	2012-STA-31	Costs related to 12-07A			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Stanislaus (cont'd)	2012-STA-32	Costs related to 12-07A			
	2012-STA-33	Costs related to 12-07A			
	2012-STA-34	Costs related to 12-20			
	2012-STA-35	Costs related to 12-07A			
	2012-STA-36	Costs related to 12-07A			
	2012-STA-37	Costs related to 12-07A			
	2012-STA-38	Costs related to 12-07A			
	2012-STA-39	Costs related to 12-07A			
	2012-STA-40	Costs related to 12-07A			
	2012-STA-41	Costs related to 12-07A			
	2012-STA-42	1 monitor	300	0	300
	2012-STA-43	Costs related to 12-20			
	2012-STA-44	Costs related to 12-20			
	2012-STA-45	4 monitors	38,080	19,440	57,520
Stanislaus Total			224,092	45,340	269,432
Sutter	2012-SUT-2	5 monitors, 1 technician, 1 analyst	83,000	5,200	88,200
	2012-SUT-3	5 monitors, 1 technician, 1 analyst	83,000	5,200	88,200
	2012-SUT-4	5 monitors, 1 technician, 1 analyst	83,000	5,200	88,200
Sutter Total			249,000	15,600	264,600
Ventura	2012-VE-42		20,924	1,750	22,674
	2012-VE-45		3,485	1,750	5,235
	2012-VE-46		20,924	1,750	22,674
	2012-VE-47	Cost not available			
	2012-VE-48		16,031	1,750	17,781
	2012-VE-50	Cost not available			
	2012-VE-51	10 officers/daily	52,500	0	52,500
	2012-VE-52		62,772	1,750	64,522
	2012-VE-53	Cost not available			
	2012-VE-54	4 officers/day	21,000	0	21,000
	2012-VE-56	2 officers/day	10,500	0	10,500

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource)
Ventura (cont'd)	2012-VE-57		175,000	0	175,000
	2012-VE-58	officers/2 per line	10,500	0	10,500
	2012-VE-59		41,848	1,750	43,598
Ventura Total			435,484	10,500	445,984
Grand Total			28,480,080	2,892,147	31,372,227

Table 7
Jurisdiction Reporting No
Electronic Interception Activity During
Calendar Year 2012

Alpine	Lake	San Francisco
Amador	Lassen	San Joaquin
Butte	Madera	Santa Cruz
Calaveras	Marin	Shasta
Colusa	Mariposa	Sierra
Contra Costa	Mendocino	Siskiyou
Del Norte	Modoc	Solano
El Dorado	Mono	Sonoma
Fresno	Monterey	Tehama
Glenn	Napa	Trinity
Humboldt	Nevada	Tulare
Inyo	Placer	Tuolumne
Kern	Plumas	Yolo
Kings	San Benito	Yuba

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Contra Costa	2012	1/11/2013			\$0.00	1	Drugs	0	0	0	0	5	Drugs
Imperial	2012	9/7/2010	3		\$25,000.00	0		0	0	0	0	2	Narcotics
Sacramento	2010	3/13/2010	WT-10-01			0						5	Conspiracy to sell meth
	2010	9/13/2010	WT-10-15			0		1				1	Attempt murder
	2010	9/15/2010	WT 10-16			0						1	Conspiracy to s meth
	2011	3/3/2011	WT-11-01			0						1	Accessory
	2011	8/11/2011	WT-11-15			2	Murder, Robbery						
San Diego	2007		07-68, 07-70, 07-73			2	Murder	1				5	Murder, kidnapping
	2010		09-15, 09-19, 09-20, 09-21, 09-22, 09-24, 09-27, 09-29, 09-30, 09-31, 10-02, 10-05, 10-09, 10-16, 10-22, 10-24			12	Narcotics	1		2		11	Narcotics
	2010		10-26, 10-35	2010-SD-20								2	Narcotics
	2010		10-31, 10-41, 10-54, 10-60	2010-SD-70		23	Narcotics	0				23	Narcotics
	2011		10-49, 10-51, 11-23	2011-SD-108				1		1		4	Murder
	2011		10-62, 10-66, 10-68, 10-72, 10-75, 11-07, 11-12, 11-17, 11-22, 11-33, 11-39						1			5	Narcotics
	2011		11-20	2011-SD-119								4	Narcotics

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
San Diego (cont'd)	2011		11-08, 11-13, 11-15, 11-26, 11-32	2011-SD-81		2	Narcotics					2	Narcotics
	2011		11-09, 11-11	2011-SD-110								1	Murder
	2011		11-25, 11-29	2011-SD-111								3	Narcotics
	2011		11-19, 11-28, 11-30	2011-SD-122								2	P.C. § 12280 (firearms)
	2011		11-10, 11-16, 11-24, 11-38			4	Narcotics					4	Narcotics
	2011		10-56, 10-67, 10-71, 11-06			4	Narcotics					3	Narcotics
	2011		10-08, 10-13, 10-18, 10-20, 10-21, 10-28, 10-33, 10-43, 10-46, 10-50, 10-55, 10-64, 10-73, 11-02, 11-04, 11-14, 11-18, 11-27, 11-34, 11-36, 11-40									6	Narcotics
Stanislaus	2011	8/29/2011	N11-005 (Wire 11-04)	2011-STA-19	\$0.00	10	Narcotics	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2011	8/10/2011	N11-05 (Wire 11-03B)	2011-STA-20	\$0.00	10	Narcotics	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2011	8/10/2011	N11-05 (Wire 11-03A)	2011-STA-18	\$0.00	10	Narcotics	0	0	0	0	0	Narcotics
	2011	7/19/2011	N11-05 (Wire-11-02)	2011-STA-17	\$0.00	10	Narcotics	0	0	0	0	0	Narcotics
	2011	2/24/2011	N11-05 (Wire 11-01)	2011-STA-16	\$0.00	10	Narcotics	0	0	0	0	0	Narcotics

Table 9
List of Electronic Interception Orders
Approved But Never Installed or Not Used
Calendar Year 2012

Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed	Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Used
2012-LA-518	2012-RIV-416
2012-LA-537	2012-SD-147
2012-LA-567	
2012-LA-594	
2012-RIV-350	
2012-RIV-393	
2012-RIV-402	
2012-VE -44	
2012-VE-62	

Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62

“Report by Attorney General”

(a) The Attorney General shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislature, the Judicial Council, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on interceptions conducted under the authority of this chapter during the preceding year. Information for this report shall be provided to the Attorney General by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order pursuant to this chapter.

(b) The report shall include all of the following data:

- (1) The number of orders or extensions applied for.
- (2) The kinds of orders or extensions applied for.
- (3) The fact that the order or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied.
- (4) The number of wire or electronic communication devices that are the subject of each order granted.
- (5) The period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.
- (6) The offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order.
- (7) The identity of the applying law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.
- (8) The nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.
- (9) A general description of the interceptions made under the order or extension, including (A) the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, (B) the number of communications intercepted, (C) the percentage of other communications intercepted, and (D) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions.
- (10) The number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under the order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made.
- (11) The number of trials resulting from the interceptions.
- (12) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to the interceptions, and the number granted or denied.
- (13) The number of convictions resulting from the interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions.
- (14) Except with regard to the initial report required by this section, the information required by paragraphs (9) to (13), inclusive, with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a preceding calendar year.
- (15) The date of the order for service of inventory made pursuant to Section 629.68, confirmation of compliance with the order, and the number of notices sent.
- (16) Other data that the Legislature, the Judicial Council, or the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall require.

(c) The annual report shall be filed no later than April of each year, and shall also include a summary analysis of the data reported pursuant to subdivision (b). The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the content and form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to this section by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order to intercept wire or electronic communications.

(d) The Attorney General shall, upon the request of an individual making an application, provide any information known to him or her as a result of these reporting requirements that would enable the individual making an application to comply with paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 629.50.